

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

cv

Mailed: August 6, 2012

Opposition No. 91202705
(parent)

Cancellation No. 92054034

CR License, LLC

v.

Grand Canyon Ranch

Cheryl S. Goodman, Interlocutory Attorney:

This case now comes up on the CR License, LLC's consented motion to consolidate Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034, filed June 22, 2012.

A review of the pleadings in both cases reveals that Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034 involve the same parties and common questions of law and fact. It would therefore be appropriate to consolidate these proceedings pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). Accordingly, the CR License LLC's consented motion is approved and the above-noted opposition and cancellation proceedings are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). See also TBMP § 511 (2d ed. rev. 2004).

The Board file will be maintained in Opposition No. 91202705 as the "parent" case. The parties should no longer

file separate papers in connection with each proceeding, but file only a single copy of each paper in the parent case. Each paper filed should bear the numbers of all consolidated proceedings in ascending order, and the parent case should be designated as the parent case by following it with: "(parent)," as in the case caption set forth above.

Consolidated cases do not lose their separate identity because of consolidation. Each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised by the respective pleadings and a copy of the final decision shall be placed in each proceeding file.

As for scheduling, discovery was closed in Cancellation No. 92054034 as of June 27, 2012, and discovery will close in Opposition No. 91202705 as of July 30, 2012, but the cases shall nevertheless be tried together. Accordingly, Cancellation No. 92054034 is hereby SUSPENDED while the parties complete discovery in Opposition No. 91202705. The Board otherwise adopts the schedule for the later-filed opposition proceedings. Therefore, following the completion of discovery in Opposition No. 91202705, these consolidated cases shall converge for trial, pursuant to the schedule set forth in Opposition No. 91202705.

Discovery Cancellation No. 92054034

CLOSED

Discovery Closes Opposition No. 91202705	7/30/2012
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034)	9/13/2012
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation 92054034)	10/28/2012
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034)	11/12/2012
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034)	12/27/2012
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034)	1/11/2013
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends (Opposition No. 91202705 and Cancellation No. 92054034)	2/10/2013

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.