

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA583687**

Filing date: **01/24/2014**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91200832
Party	Defendant Honda Giken Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha (Honda Motor Co., Ltd.)
Correspondence Address	MICHAEL J BEVILACQUA WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE ST BOSTON, MA 02109 1800 UNITED STATES silena.paik@wilmerhale.com, michael.bevilacqua@wilmerhale.com, john.regan@wilmerhale.com, sarah.frazier@wilmerhale.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Sarah R. Frazier
Filer's e-mail	sarah.frazier@wilmerhale.com
Signature	/Sarah R. Frazier/
Date	01/24/2014
Attachments	Answer to Briggs' Second Amended Notice of Opposition.pdf(13390 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BRIGGS & STRATTON CORPORATION and)	
KOHLER CO.,)	
)	
Opposers,)	Opposition No. 91200832 (parent)
)	
v.)	Opposition No. 91200146
)	
HONDA GIKEN KOGYO KABUSHIKI)	Application Serial No. 78924545
KAISHA,)	
)	
Applicant.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

**APPLICANT HONDA GIKEN KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA’S
ANSWER TO OPPOSER BRIGGS & STRATTON CORPORATION’S
SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

Applicant, Honda Giken Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha, by and through its attorneys, hereby responds to Opposer Briggs & Stratton Corporation’s Second Amended Notice of Opposition as follows:

1. Applicant admits the allegations in Paragraph 1, and further states that the description includes the following: “The broken lining in the drawing is not part of the mark and serves only to indicate position.”
2. Applicant admits the allegations in Paragraph 2.
3. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.
4. Applicant admits that Exhibit A purports to be photographs of mid-size horizontal shaft utility engines. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a conclusion as to the truth of Opposer’s assertion that the engines depicted in Exhibit A are in the marketplace, and therefore denies that allegation. Applicant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 4.

5. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.

6. Applicant admits that Exhibit A purports to be photographs of mid-size horizontal shaft utility engines. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a conclusion as to the truth of Opposer's assertion that the engines depicted in Exhibit A are in the marketplace and co-exist with Applicant's engine, and therefore denies that allegation. Applicant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 6.

7. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.

8. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.

9. Applicant admits that it recently made changes to some aspects of its GX series horizontal shaft engines. Applicant further admits that these changes include removing the ribs on the carburetor cover and minor modifications to the beveling on the top circumference of the air cleaner and fuel tank. Applicant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 9.

10. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.

11. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.

12. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.

13. Applicant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.

14. Upon information and belief, Applicant admits that Opposer is a seller of engines and a competitor of Applicant's in the engine field.

15. Paragraph 15 states conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent there are factual allegations contained in Paragraph 15, Applicant denies each and every such allegation.

Wherefore, Applicant requests that the Board reject Opposer's grounds in its Second Amended Notice of Opposition, deny the relief requested by Opposer, and grant Applicant's applied-for mark that is the subject of this Opposition proceeding.

Date: January 24, 2014

HONDA GIKEN KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA
(HONDA MOTOR CO., LTD.)

By its attorneys,

/s/ Sarah R. Frazier

Vinita Ferrera

John Regan

Silena Paik

Sarah R. Frazier

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

(617) 526-6000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Applicant Honda Giken Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha's Answer To Opposer Briggs & Stratton Corporation's Second Amended Notice of Opposition was served via first class mail, postage prepaid, this 24th day of January, 2014 upon:

Donald Daugherty
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.
555 E. Wells Street, Suite 1900
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

And

Robert N. Phillips
Seth B. Herring
Reed Smith LLP
101 Second Street
Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94105

/s/ Sarah R. Frazier

Sarah R. Frazier