

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA723736**

Filing date: **01/29/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91200832
Party	Plaintiff Briggs & Stratton Corporation and Kohler Co.
Correspondence Address	ROBERT N PHILLIPS REED SMITH LLP 101 SECOND ST, STE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 UNITED STATES ipdocket-chi@reedsmith.com, nborders@reedsmith.com, robphillips@reedsmith.com, knowakowski@whdlaw.com, dkalahahele@rddsmith.com, ebridge@whdlaw.com, mgi
Submission	Opposition/Response to Motion
Filer's Name	Robert N. Phillips
Filer's e-mail	robphillips@reedsmith.com, sherring@reedsmith.com, dkalahele@reedsmith.com
Signature	/s/ Robert N. Phillips
Date	01/29/2016
Attachments	Opposers' Response to Applicant's Renewed Motion to Strike.pdf(11520 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

BRIGGS & STRATTON CORPORATION	)	
	)	
Opposer,	)	Opposition No. 91200832 (Parent)
vs.	)	
	)	
HONDA GIKEN KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA,	)	
	)	
Applicant.	)	
	)	
KOHLER CO.	)	
	)	
Opposer,	)	Opposition No. 91200146
vs.	)	
	)	
HONDA GIKEN KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA,	)	
	)	
Applicant.	)	
	)	

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1451

**OPPOSERS' RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO STRIKE**

To the extent that the Board deems Applicant's statements in its Trial Brief that it "maintains its objections to portions of fact witness Jeff Whitmore's trial testimony" and "continues to object to portions of Mr. Whitmore's trial testimony" (Dkt. 181 at 8, A-9) as sufficient to renew its Motion to Strike filed on July 17, 2015 (Dkt. 129)<sup>1</sup>, Opposers maintain their opposition and

---

<sup>1</sup> On November 17, 2015, the Board stated that "consideration of Applicants' July 17, 2015 motion to strike the allegedly improper testimony of Jeff Whitmore is DEFERRED until final decision. [portion omitted] If Opposer [sic] wishes to preserve its objections, it should renew them in its trial brief, and the parties should argue the matter in the alternative. See TBMP § 532 (Dkt. 181)

continue to oppose Applicant's Motion to Strike on the grounds set forth in the opposition previously filed on August 6, 2015 (Dkt. 134).

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: January 29, 2016

By: /s/ Robert N. Phillips  
Robert N. Phillips  
Seth B. Herring  
Reed Smith LLP  
101 Second Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Attorneys for Opposer Briggs & Stratton  
Corporation

Dated: January 29, 2016

By: /s/ Kenneth Nowakowski  
Kenneth Nowakowski  
Elizabeth Townsend Bridge  
Melinda Giftos  
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.  
535 East Wells Street, Suite 1900  
Milwaukee, WI 53202

Attorneys for Opposer Kohler Co.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing OPPOSERS' RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO STRIKE was served via first class mail, postage prepaid, this 29th day of January, 2016 upon:

Vinita Ferrera, Esq.  
John Regan, Esq.  
Carrie Seares, Esq.  
Sarah R. Frazier, Esq.  
Shira C. Hoffman, Esq.  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-1800  
Phone: (617) 526-6448  
Fax: (617) 526-5000

Ken Nowakowski, Esq.  
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.  
555 E. Wells Street, Suite 1900  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202  
Phone: (414) 273-2100  
Fax: (414) 223-5000

*/s/ Deborah Kalahale*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Deborah Kalahale