

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

RK/lg

Mailed: May 9, 2012

Opposition No. 91200616 (parent)  
Cancellation No. 92053622

UMG Recordings, Inc.

v.

Siggy Music, Inc.

**Yong Oh (Richard) Kim, Interlocutory Attorney:**

On April 13, 2012, opposer/petitioner filed a consented motion to consolidate Opposition No. 91200616 and Cancellation No. 92053622.

The Board may consolidate pending cases that involve common questions of law or fact. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); see also *Regatta Sport Ltd. v. Telux-Pioneer Inc.*, 20 USPQ2d 1154 (TTAB 1991) and *Estate of Biro v. Bic Corp.*, 18 USPQ2d 1382 (TTAB 1991). Consolidation in appropriate cases will avoid duplication of effort concerning the factual issues and will thereby avoid unnecessary costs and delays.

Inasmuch as the parties to the respective proceedings are the same and the proceedings involve common questions of law or fact, the Board finds that consolidation of the

Opposition No. 91200616 (parent)  
Cancellation No. 92053622

above-referenced proceedings is appropriate. In view thereof, opposer/petitioner's motion to consolidate is hereby **GRANTED**. **Opposition No. 91200616 and Cancellation No. 92053622 are hereby consolidated** and may be presented on the same record and briefs. The record will be maintained in **Opposition No. 91200616 as the "parent" case**. The parties should no longer file separate papers in connection with each proceeding, but file only a single copy of each paper in the parent case. Each paper filed should bear the numbers of all consolidated proceedings in ascending order, and the parent case should be designated as such in the case caption as set forth above.

Consolidated cases do not lose their separate identity because of consolidation. Each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised by the respective pleadings and a copy of the final decision shall be placed in each proceeding file. See Wright & Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure: Civil § 2382 (1971).

The parties are instructed to promptly inform the Board of any other related cases within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42.

Opposition No. 91200616 (parent)  
Cancellation No. 92053622

The Board notes that a motion for judgment on the pleadings was filed by petitioner in the child proceeding on April 27, 2012. In view thereof, proceedings herein are **SUSPENDED** pending disposition of petitioner's motion. Any paper filed during the pendency of this motion which is not relevant thereto will be given no consideration. See Trademark Rule 2.127(d).

\* \* \*