

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: December 18, 2013

Opposition No. 91199481

Los Angeles Dodgers LLC

v.

Loyola Academy

**George C. Pologeorgis,  
Interlocutory Attorney:**

Opposer's consented motion (filed December 13, 2013) to extend disclosure, discovery and trial dates is **GRANTED** for good cause shown based upon the status report submitted concurrently with opposer's consented motion to extend.

In view thereof, trial dates are reset as follows:

Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures Due	<b>3/26/2014</b>
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	<b>5/10/2014</b>
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures Due	<b>5/25/2014</b>
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	<b>7/9/2014</b>
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Due	<b>7/24/2014</b>
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	<b>8/23/2014</b>

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony, together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

**Opposition No. 91199481**

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

As a final matter, the Board notes that between the time period of May 2011 - October 2012 and June 2013 - December 2013, the parties have been engaged in settlement discussions and settlement has still not been reached. The Board finds that it has provided the parties ample time to settle this matter, including the time granted herein.

Accordingly, the Board **will not entertain any further requests to extend or suspend for settlement**, whether consented to or not. If the parties cannot settle this matter within the extension of time granted by this order, the parties should prepare to proceed with trial.

Further, if the parties file a request to extend testimony periods, whether consented to or not, the parties must demonstrate good cause for the extension request by explaining why testimony cannot be completed by the deadlines set forth in this order. The fact that the parties are continuing to discuss settlement will not constitute good cause for the extension request. In view of the foregoing, the parties should no longer employ ESTTA's "consented motions" form when filing a request to extend the remaining testimony periods; instead, they should employ the "general filings" form.