

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA427846**

Filing date: **08/30/2011**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91199018
Party	Plaintiff Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Correspondence Address	JENNIFER FRASER CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP PO BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19801 UNITED STATES trademarks@cblh.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Jennifer Fraser
Filer's e-mail	trademarks@cblh.com, jfraser@cblh.com, bstaley@cblh.com
Signature	/jf/
Date	08/30/2011
Attachments	Opposition to Amendment Request for 180 Day EOT.pdf (37 pages)(1405327 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re: Application Serial No. 85/094,790	:	
	:	
Filed: July 28, 2010	:	
	:	
For the Mark: DR. AMLIN	:	Opposition No. 91199018
	:	
Published: March 8, 2011	:	
	:	
Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,	:	
	:	
Opposer,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Attorney Docket No.: 32377-1
	:	
Amlin Health, LLC	:	
	:	
Applicant.	:	

**OPPOSITION TO APPLICANT’S AMENDMENT REQUEST FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FROM ORIGINAL 90 DAYS TO 180 DAYS AND
REQUEST MOTION TO REOPEN TIME FOR SERVING INTIAL DISCLOSURES**

Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Opposer”) respectfully requests the Board deny Applicant’s amended request to extend and reopen the deadlines in this matter by 180 days. The deadline for Initial Disclosures long passed before Applicant requested its first extension request and now Applicant is seeking a further extension of 180 days – essentially six months – and Applicant’s Amended Request for further time still fails to set forth the good cause for either extension and does not provide any facts showing excusable neglect or any diligence exercised

by Applicant or Applicant's counsel before the deadlines, as required by the Rules.¹

Opposer incorporates its prior Opposition to Applicant's Motion for a 90 Day Extension and Motion to Reopen Time filed August 22 ("Opposition to Motion for 90 Day Extension"), and Opposer is filing this paper to briefly address the new issues raised in the Amended Request and advise the Board that Opposer also objects to the Amended Request.

As explained in both Oppositions to Applicant's Motions to Extend, Applicant has failed to meet the standard to extend and reopen, and a 180 day extension is not warranted.

I. BACKGROUND

As mentioned above, the background to these papers has previously been set forth in the Opposition to Motion for 90 Day Extension. Since Applicant filed its Motion for 90 Day Extension, no new facts have arisen that show any good cause for the extension or that suggest the missed deadlines were the result of excusable neglect. The parties did have a discussion on August 17 which briefly probed whether settlement was possible and resulted in both sides confirming that the Opposition would proceed because the parties have drastically different positions. In the discussion, Opposer basically reiterated its settlement position from the May 23, 2011 Discovery Conference between Applicant's counsel, Opposer's counsel and the Interlocutory Attorney. To clarify, however, this discussion with Applicant occurred on August 17, well after the first Motion for 90 Day Extension and thus does not provide any basis for any

¹ Opposer is filing this Opposition to the Amendment Request for Extension of Time from Original 90 Days to 180 Days and Request Motion to Reopen Time for Serving Initial Disclosures ("Amended Request") in the event the Board treats the Amended Request as a new Motion, and not a Reply Brief to the Opposition to the last extension filed by Opposer on August 22. Applicant has requested a new and extremely lengthy extension and also included new material in its Amended Request and it appears an Opposition is warranted, although Opposer recognizes a Surreply is not provided for under the Rules. Additionally, Opposer objects to the lack of proper service because counsel only received this Amended Request by e-mail to her individual address and, as previously explained in prior Board Orders, the parties agreed to send courtesy copies of documents by e-mail but mail service is still required for official service.

extension. Thereafter, Opposer sent a letter on August 18 reiterating these terms, which was rejected by Applicant on August 19. Copies of these letters are attached at Exhibit A.²

The Amended Request provides *no* facts as to why the deadlines were missed *before* they arose, particularly when Applicant was represented by counsel, and it provides no facts related to any diligence exercised by counsel or Applicant, why communication prior to the deadlines was impossible, why other employees were not monitoring the opposition and why 180 days is warranted.

The Amended Request now includes a new version of a statement that was not in the prior Motion for Extension:

“[t]he reason deadline for Initial Disclosures passed was that Applicant was not in the U.S. until July 19, 2011. Applicant was not able to correspond directly while in abroad. Moreover, Applicant tried his best effort immediately to communicate with his counsel and Opposer’s counsel as well the Interlocutory Attorney over the telephones and via emails for many times in this matter *after* Applicant returned to the U.S.” (emphasis added).

The Amended Request also includes other statements, but these statements do not relate to any good cause for the extension. This statement does not relate to any diligence prior to the deadlines nor suggest excusable neglect.

II. APPLICANT’S REQUEST FOR 180 DAYS SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE APPLICANT DID NOT MEET THE STANDARD TO REOPEN TIME OR EXTEND THE DEADLINE

The period for serving Initial Disclosures has long since expired and no request for extension was made to Opposer or the Board prior to the deadline. Moreover, because the time has expired, to reopen time Applicant must now set forth specific facts explaining the reason for

² Portions of the letters have been redacted but are offered to show that settlement is not a basis for any extension, nor could Applicant suggest any prolonged negotiations or discussions occurred, or that it believed settlement was a viable option.

the delay and showing the failure to act during the allotted time is the result of excusable neglect. Applicant has not made any such showing. Additionally, regardless of Applicant's failure to identify any facts or legitimate justification, 180 days is too long a period for an extension and the length of delay is also a factor to be considered. Trademark Board Manual of Procedure (TBMP) § 509.01(b); *see also, Pumpkin Ltd. v. Seed Corps.*, 43 USPQ2d 1582 (TTAB 1997).

The reason for the delay, including whether it was in the control of movant, is typically the most important factor to be considered in assessing whether the extension should be granted. *Pumpkin Ltd. v. Seed Corps.*, 43 USPQ2d 1582, 1586, n. 7 (TTAB 1997).³ Not only did Applicant wholly fail to provide any explanation or facts as to why counsel, Applicant and any employees failed to act by the deadline, Applicant did not assert any reason why this was excusable neglect.⁴

Moreover, assuming *arguendo*, the trip by a member of Applicant's company was a reason, this excuse is not sufficient because Applicant was represented by counsel at the time, and both should have been aware of this deadline in advance and both failed to take any action for weeks. It is also well-established that a party is accountable for acts/omissions of counsel and Applicant provided no reason to depart from this well-established rule. *Gaylord Entertainment Co. v. Calvin Gilmore Productions Inc.*, 59 USPQ2d 1369 (TTAB 1999). Dr. Zhang's claim that he tried his "best efforts" to contact, *inter alia*, "his counsel" *after* his return does not come remotely close to showing how the missed deadline was the result of excusable neglect. Moreover, such a claim is undercut by e-mail correspondence with Opposer's counsel

³ See also *Gaylord Entertainment Co. v. Calvin Gilmore Productions Inc.*, 59 USPQ2d 1369 (TTAB 1999) (failing to provide reasons for former counsel's inaction).

⁴ Presumably, Applicant's business has at least one other principal as its Amended Request makes several references to a woman owned business, yet Dr. Linus Zhang, who has filed the two papers, is not a woman.

who copied Applicant's counsel on all e-mail correspondence while the Motion to Withdraw by Applicant's counsel was pending and, in each instance, Applicant "replied to all" but omitted his counsel. Copies of these e-mails are enclosed at Exhibit B.⁵

Applicant has the burden of persuading the Board it has been diligent in meeting its responsibilities and such has not been done. TBMP § 509.01(a), n.2. The Rules explain the Board should carefully scrutinize any motion to determine if the requisite good cause has been shown. TBMP § 509.01(a). It is clear Applicant's Amended Request, and initial Motion to Extend, have not set forth good cause or satisfied the excusable neglect standard.

180 days – six months – is also a long period of time to extend a deadline and this delay, its impact on the proceedings and prejudice to nonmovant must be considered. These many missed deadlines, followed by papers that do not conform to the Rules and suggest that Applicant will have difficulty complying with the Rules, especially in acceptable timeframes, creates prejudice to Opposer because, at a minimum, Opposer's counsel has been forced to respond to many papers and yet has not received any substantive information to move forward with the proceeding. As explained in the Opposition to the Motion for 90 Day Extension, the deadlines for Initial Disclosures and discovery requests are typically 30 days after the deadline for the discovery conference and 35 days after service, respectively, and 180 days is in no way a reasonable period to extend time particularly in the absence of any facts showing diligence or excusable neglect.

⁵ Additionally, although Applicant claims he also tried to communicate with Opposer's counsel, such communications are of no consequence to the extensions at issue because Applicant was asking questions better directed to his own counsel and was represented by counsel at the time and do not relate to any good cause or diligence by Applicant or his counsel prior to the deadlines. At no point, did Applicant request any extension of the deadlines from counsel, even a modest extension.

III. CONCLUSION

Opposer respectfully requests that the Board enter an order denying Applicant's request for a 180 day extension and to reopen time and/or take any other appropriate action the Board deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,
Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Date: August 30, 2011

/s/ Jennifer Fraser
Jennifer Fraser
Christina M. Hillson
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
Attorneys for Opposer

4448146_1.DOC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30 day of August 2011 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was caused to be served on the following parties as indicated:

VIA E-MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Linus Zhang, M.D.
Amlin Health, LLC
451 Hungerford Drive
Suite 119-132
Rockville, MD 20850
amlinhealth@gmail.com

/s/ Jennifer Fraser
Jennifer Fraser

EXHIBIT

A



CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Jennifer Fraser

Partner

Bar Affiliations: Maryland and District of Columbia

TEL (202) 756-4356

FAX (302) 658-5614

EMAIL jfraser@cblh.com

WASHINGTON, DC

1875 Eye Street, NW

Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20006

TEL: (202) 331 7111

FAX: (202) 293 6229

WEB: www.cblh.com

August 18, 2011

**VIA E-MAIL
CONFIRMATION VIA 1st CLASS MAIL**

**FRE 408 COMMUNICATION
FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES
ONLY**

Dr. Linus Zhang
Amlin Health, LLC
451 Hungerford Drive
Suite 119-132
Rockville, MD 20850

Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC
Trademark Opposition No. 91199018 against DR. AMLIN
Our Ref.: 32377-1

Dear Dr. Zhang:

Further to our telephone conference yesterday, we relayed our discussion to our client, Amylin Pharmaceuticals. Amylin would agree to reimburse Amlin Health, LLC for reasonable Trademark Office filing fees in the amount of \$ _____ if you agree to abandon the trademark application for DR. AMLIN and cease all use of DR. AMLIN and similar variations thereof including the tradename Amlin Health, LLC.

If this is acceptable, please let us know and we can draft a Settlement Agreement; otherwise, we plan on proceeding with the Opposition.

Very truly yours,

JENNIFER FRASER

JF/bms

4435318_1.DOC

Breanne M. Staley

From: Linus Zhang [amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 1:15 PM
To: Breanne M. Staley
Cc: Jennifer Fraser; amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Jennifer:

I received your letter. I hope your client is not kidding!

As I mentioned to you during our telephone conference on August 17, 2011 that we have spent a tons of time and effort for our business and product line, that include but not limited to:

three years research and development,

three years business development,

three years labor,

three years cost,

three years investment of my life time,

in short, that's is why we have our mark: Dr. Amlin and Amlin Health, LLC today. So far everything not only cost our money but also our time, which is invaluable.

Please tell your client, we are willing to reimburse AMYLIN PHARMARCUTICAL, INC. for their mark AMYLIN filing fees and their company name in the amount of \$ WITHOUT any terms and conditions. If it is acceptable, we can draft an agreement immediately.

By the way, if this is not acceptable, you may plan on proceeding with the Opposition if you wish.

Sincerely,

Linus Zhang, M.D.
Amlin Health, LLC

On Aug 18, 2011, at 6:04 PM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

Dear Dr. Zhang,

8/30/2011

Please see the attached correspondence from Jennifer Fraser regarding the above.

Regards,

Breanne M. Staley, DCP
Trademark Paralegal to
Jennifer Fraser
Christina M. Hillson
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
1007 N. Orange St.
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6282 direct #
(302) 658-5614 fax
bstaley@cblh.com

This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

<081811 ltr to Amlin.pdf>

EXHIBIT

B

Breanne M. Staley

From: Breanne M. Staley [BStaley@cblh.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 10:47 AM
To: amlinhealth@gmail.com; mswyers@TheTrademarkCompany.com
Cc: Jennifer Fraser
Subject: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
Attachments: Motion to Compel IDs.pdf

Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the TTAB.

Regards,

Breanne M. Staley, DCP
Trademark Paralegal to
Jennifer Fraser
Christina M. Hillson
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
1007 N. Orange St.
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6282 direct #
(302) 658-5614 fax
bstaley@cblh.com

Breanne M. Staley

From: Linus Zhang [amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
To: Breanne M. Staley
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Hello,

I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.

Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ? Thanks.

Regards,

Linus Zhang, M.D.
Amlin Health, LLC

On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the TTAB.

Regards,

Breanne M. Staley, DCP
Trademark Paralegal to
Jennifer Fraser
Christina M. Hillson
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
1007 N. Orange St.
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6282 direct #
(302) 658-5614 fax
bstaley@cblh.com

This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

<Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

8/30/2011

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:51 PM
To: 'Linus Zhang'
Cc: 'mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com'
Subject: RE: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Purple

Dear Dr. Zhang,

We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and your attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.

Regards,

Jennifer Fraser
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
Suite 1100
1875 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
FAX (202) 293-6229

-----Original Message-----

From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
To: Breanne M. Staley
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Hello,

I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.

Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ? Thanks.

Regards,

Linus Zhang, M.D.
Amlin Health, LLC

On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the TTAB.

Regards,

Breanne M. Staley, DCP
Trademark Paralegal to
Jennifer Fraser
Christina M. Hillson
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
1007 N. Orange St.
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6282 direct #
(302) 658-5614 fax
bstaley@cblh.com

This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

<Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:20 PM
To: Breanne M. Staley; Christina M. Hillson
Subject: Fw: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

----- Original Message -----

From: Linus Zhang <amlinhealth@gmail.com>
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com <amlinhealth@gmail.com>
Sent: Mon Jul 25 16:18:03 2011
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Hello, Jennifer:

I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney either, please send me more details ASAP. Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can go from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just returned to the US.

Thanks.

Linus

On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and your
> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.

>
> Regards,

>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229

>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> **Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
> **To:** Breanne M. Staley
> **Cc:** amlinhealth@gmail.com
> **Subject:** Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

>
> Hello,

>
> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between
> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
> Thanks.

>
> Regards,

>
> Linus Zhang, M.D.
> Amlin Health, LLC

>
>
> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

>
> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
> TTAB.

>
> Regards,

>
> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
> Trademark Paralegal to
> Jennifer Fraser
> Christina M. Hillson
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
> 1007 N. Orange St.
> P.O. Box 2207
> Wilmington, DE 19899
> (302) 888-6282 direct #
> (302) 658-5614 fax
> bstaley@cblh.com

>
>
>
> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission
> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.

>
> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

>
> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential
information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and
any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to
avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or
matter addressed herein.

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:40 PM
To: 'Linus Zhang'
Cc: 'mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com'
Subject: RE: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Purple

Dear Dr. Zhang,

The TTAB attorney is Ms. Cheryl Goodman, (571) 272-4270. Your current attorney should be able to provide you with this information and advice.

Regards,

Jennifer Fraser
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
Suite 1100
1875 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
FAX (202) 293-6229

-----Original Message-----

From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:18 PM
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Hello, Jennifer:

I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney either, please send me more details ASAP. Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can go from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just returned to the US.

Thanks.

Linus

On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and your
> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.
>
> Regards,
>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
> To: Breanne M. Staley
> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

> Hello,

> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between
> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
> Thanks.

> Regards,

> Linus Zhang, M.D.
> Amlin Health, LLC

> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
> TTAB.

> Regards,

> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
> Trademark Paralegal to
> Jennifer Fraser
> Christina M. Hillson
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
> 1007 N. Orange St.
> P.O. Box 2207
> Wilmington, DE 19899
> (302) 888-6282 direct #
> (302) 658-5614 fax
> bstaley@cblh.com

> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission

> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.

>
> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

>
>
>
>
>

> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 5:19 PM
To: Christina M. Hillson; Breanne M. Staley
Subject: FW: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

-----Original Message-----

From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 5:16 PM
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Jennifer:

Please let me know who appoints the TTAB attorney Ms. Cheryl Goodman to my case? I could not find the web link from PTO .

I do not hire my attorney anymore, so please send me the most update info or questions to me directly.

Thanks.

Linus Zhang, M.D.
Amlin Health, LLC

On Jul 25, 2011, at 4:40 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> The TTAB attorney is Ms. Cheryl Goodman, (571) 272-4270. Your current
> attorney should be able to provide you with this information and advice.

>
>
> Regards,
>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> **From:** Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> **Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2011 4:18 PM
> **To:** Jennifer Fraser
> **Cc:** amlinhealth@gmail.com
> **Subject:** Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

>
> Hello, Jennifer:

>

> I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney
> either, please send me more details ASAP.
> Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can go
> from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just returned
> to the US.

>
> Thanks.

>
> Linus

>
>
> On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

>
>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>
>> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
>> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and
> your
>> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
>> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
>> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.

>>
>> Regards,

>>
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>> Suite 1100
>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>> Washington, DC 20006
>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>> FAX (202) 293-6229

>>
>>
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
>> To: Breanne M. Staley
>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

>>
>> Hello,

>>
>> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between
>> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
>> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
>> Thanks.

>>
>> Regards,

>>
>> Linus Zhang, M.D.
>> Amlin Health, LLC

>>
>>
>>
>> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

>>
>>
>> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

>>
>> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
>> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
>> TTAB.

>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
>> Trademark Paralegal to
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Christina M. Hillson
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
>> 1007 N. Orange St.
>> P.O. Box 2207
>> Wilmington, DE 19899
>> (302) 888-6282 direct #
>> (302) 658-5614 fax
>> bstaley@cblh.com

>>
>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
> transmission
>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
> Any
>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
> be
>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
> addressed
>> herein.

>>
>> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

>>
>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission
> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.

>
>
>
> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 6:53 PM
To: 'Linus Zhang'
Cc: 'mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com'
Subject: RE: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Purple

Attachments: motion.pdf^; Opposer's 1st Set of Roggs.pdf^; Opposer's 1st Set of RFP.pdf^; Opposer's 1st Set of RFA.pdf^; DR. AMLIN first set of Interrogatories.doc^; DR. AMLIN first set of RFP.doc^; DR. AMLIN first set of RFA.doc^; motion.pdf^



motion.pdf (278 KB)



Opposer's 1st Set of Roggs.pdf...



Opposer's 1st Set of RFP.pdf (...)



Opposer's 1st Set of RFA.pdf (...)



DR. AMLIN first set of Interr...



DR. AMLIN first set of RFP.doc...



DR. AMLIN first set of RFA.doc...



motion.pdf (278 KB)

Dear Dr. Zhang,

We enclose copies of Amylin's outstanding discovery requests which were initially served to Mr. Swyers on June 29. Additionally, we enclose a Motion to Compel that was served by mail and e-mail on both you and Mr. Swyers on July 14 as Mr. Swyers is still listed in the TTAB records. If you would like to ensure receipt of all papers in this matter you will need to enter an appearance or have another attorney enter an appearance.

Regards,

Jennifer Fraser
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
Suite 1100
1875 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
FAX (202) 293-6229

-----Original Message-----

From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 5:16 PM
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Jennifer:

Please let me know who appoints the TTAB attorney Ms. Cheryl Goodman to my case? I could not find the web link from PTO .

I do not hire my attorney anymore, so please send me the most update info or questions to me directly.

Thanks.

Linus Zhang, M.D.

Amlin Health, LLC

On Jul 25, 2011, at 4:40 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> The TTAB attorney is Ms. Cheryl Goodman, (571) 272-4270. Your current
> attorney should be able to provide you with this information and advice.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:18 PM
> To: Jennifer Fraser
> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

> Hello, Jennifer:
>
> I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney
> either, please send me more details ASAP.
> Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can go
> from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just returned
> to the US.

> Thanks.

> Linus

> On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>
>> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
>> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and
>> your
>> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
>> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
>> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.

>> Regards,

>>
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>> Suite 1100

>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>> Washington, DC 20006
>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>> FAX (202) 293-6229

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
>> To: Breanne M. Staley
>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

>> Hello,

>> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country between
>> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
>> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
>> Thanks.

>> Regards,

>> Linus Zhang, M.D.
>> Amlin Health, LLC

>> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

>> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

>> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
>> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
>> TTAB.

>> Regards,

>> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
>> Trademark Paralegal to
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Christina M. Hillson
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
>> 1007 N. Orange St.
>> P.O. Box 2207
>> Wilmington, DE 19899
>> (302) 888-6282 direct #
>> (302) 658-5614 fax
>> bstaley@cblh.com

>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
> transmission

>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
> Any
>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
> be
>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
> addressed
>> herein.

>>
>> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission
> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.

>
>
>

> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Breanne M. Staley

From: Linus Zhang [amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 4:16 PM
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: Breanne M. Staley; amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Jennifer:

I realized that your attached/requested documents are dated old, I want to double check if the date should/suppose to start from the date after I returned to the US (from July 19, 2011)?
Or when is the due day for each document? How and where I can enter an appearance, can you specify? Thanks.

Regards,

Linus

On Jul 25, 2011, at 6:53 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> We enclose copies of Amylin's outstanding discovery requests which were
> initially served to Mr. Swyers on June 29. Additionally, we enclose a
> Motion to Compel that was served by mail and e-mail on both you and Mr.
> Swyers on July 14 as Mr. Swyers is still listed in the TTAB records. If
> you would like to ensure receipt of all papers in this matter you will
> need to enter an appearance or have another attorney enter an
> appearance.
>
> Regards,
>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 5:16 PM
> To: Jennifer Fraser
> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
>
> Dear Jennifer:
>
> Please let me know who appoints the TTAB attorney Ms. Cheryl Goodman to
> my case? I could not find the web link from PTO .
> I do not hire my attorney anymore, so please send me the most update
> info or questions to me directly.
>

> Thanks.
>
> Linus Zhang, M.D.
> Amlin Health, LLC
>
>
>
>
> On Jul 25, 2011, at 4:40 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:
>
>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>
>> The TTAB attorney is Ms. Cheryl Goodman, (571) 272-4270. Your current
>> attorney should be able to provide you with this information and
> advice.
>>
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>> Suite 1100
>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>> Washington, DC 20006
>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>> FAX (202) 293-6229
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:18 PM
>> To: Jennifer Fraser
>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
>>
>> Hello, Jennifer:
>>
>> I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney
>> either, please send me more details ASAP.
>> Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can
> go
>> from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just
> returned
>> to the US.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> Linus
>>
>>
>> On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:
>>
>>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>>
>>> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
>>> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and
>> your
>>> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
>>> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
>>> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.

>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Jennifer Fraser
>>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>>> Suite 1100
>>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>>> Washington, DC 20006
>>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>>> FAX (202) 293-6229
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
>>> To: Breanne M. Staley
>>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
>>>
>>> Hello,
>>>
>>> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country
> between
>>> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
>>> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
>>> Thanks.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Linus Zhang, M.D.
>>> Amlin Health, LLC
>>>
>>>
>>> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,
>>>
>>> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
>>> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
>>> TTAB.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
>>> Trademark Paralegal to
>>> Jennifer Fraser
>>> Christina M. Hillson
>>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
>>> 1007 N. Orange St.
>>> P.O. Box 2207
>>> Wilmington, DE 19899
>>> (302) 888-6282 direct #
>>> (302) 658-5614 fax
>>> bstaley@cblh.com
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
>> transmission
>>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
>> Any
>>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
>> be
>>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to
> promote,
>>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
>> addressed
>>> herein.
>>>
>>> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
> transmission
>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
> Any
>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
> be
>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
> addressed
>> herein.
>>
>>
>>
>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission
> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.
>
>
>
> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential
information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and
any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to
avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or
matter addressed herein.
> <motion.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of Roggs.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of RFP.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of RFA.pdf><DR.
AMLIN first set of Interrogatories.doc><DR. AMLIN first set of RFP.doc><DR. AMLIN first set of
RFA.doc><motion.pdf>

Breanne M. Staley

From: Jennifer Fraser
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 4:35 PM
To: 'Linus Zhang'
Cc: Breanne M. Staley
Subject: RE: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Dr. Zhang,

As stated in my e-mail yesterday, the discovery requests were served June 29 on your attorney. As also previously mentioned, we represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals, the Opposer in this proceeding, and we cannot provide you with advice in this matter. Your current attorney or new attorney can assist you with these matters.

Regards,

Jennifer Fraser
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
Suite 1100
1875 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
FAX (202) 293-6229

-----Original Message-----

From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 4:16 PM
To: Jennifer Fraser
Cc: Breanne M. Staley; amlinhealth@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC; Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

Dear Jennifer:

I realized that your attached/requested documents are dated old, I want to double check if the date should/suppose to start from the date after I returned to the US (from July 19, 2011)? Or when is the due day for each document? How and where I can enter an appearance, can you specify? Thanks.

Regards,

Linus

On Jul 25, 2011, at 6:53 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:

> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>
> We enclose copies of Amylin's outstanding discovery requests which were
> initially served to Mr. Swyers on June 29. Additionally, we enclose a
> Motion to Compel that was served by mail and e-mail on both you and Mr.
> Swyers on July 14 as Mr. Swyers is still listed in the TTAB records. If
> you would like to ensure receipt of all papers in this matter you will
> need to enter an appearance or have another attorney enter an
> appearance.
>
> Regards,

>
> Jennifer Fraser
> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
> Suite 1100
> 1875 Eye Street NW
> Washington, DC 20006
> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
> FAX (202) 293-6229
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 5:16 PM
> To: Jennifer Fraser
> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
>
> Dear Jennifer:
>
> Please let me know who appoints the TTAB attorney Ms. Cheryl Goodman to
> my case? I could not find the web link from PTO .
> I do not hire my attorney anymore, so please send me the most update
> info or questions to me directly.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Linus Zhang, M.D.
> Amlin Health, LLC
>
>
>
>
> On Jul 25, 2011, at 4:40 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:
>
>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>
>> The TTAB attorney is Ms. Cheryl Goodman, (571) 272-4270. Your current
>> attorney should be able to provide you with this information and
> advice.
>>
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Jennifer Fraser
>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>> Suite 1100
>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>> Washington, DC 20006
>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>> FAX (202) 293-6229
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 4:18 PM
>> To: Jennifer Fraser
>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018

>>
>> Hello, Jennifer:
>>
>> I could not find the web link from PTO and no info about TTAB attorney
>> either, please send me more details ASAP.
>> Also, please send me the most updated info or questions, then we can
> go
>> from there, since I was out of the country for 6 weeks and just
> returned
>> to the US.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> Linus
>>
>>
>> On Jul 25, 2011, at 2:50 PM, Jennifer Fraser wrote:
>>
>>> Dear Dr. Zhang,
>>>
>>> We represent Amylin Pharmaceuticals in the above-captioned opposition
>>> proceeding. Status information is available on the PTO website and
>>> your
>>> attorney is still the attorney of record for this matter and could
>>> probably provide you with the status. The TTAB attorney could also
>>> assist you if you need assistance with a particular issue.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Jennifer Fraser
>>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
>>> Suite 1100
>>> 1875 Eye Street NW
>>> Washington, DC 20006
>>> TEL (202) 756-4356 (direct)
>>> FAX (202) 293-6229
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Linus Zhang [mailto:amlinhealth@gmail.com]
>>> Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 2:14 PM
>>> To: Breanne M. Staley
>>> Cc: amlinhealth@gmail.com
>>> Subject: Re: Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amlin Health, LLC;
>>> Trademark Opp. No. 91199018
>>>
>>> Hello,
>>>
>>> I just returned to the US last week (I was out of the country
> between
>>> 5/30 and 7/19) and I got response from my attorney's office today.
>>> Please let me know the current status for our trademark: Dr. Amlin ?
>>> Thanks.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Linus Zhang, M.D.
>>> Amlin Health, LLC
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>> On Jul 14, 2011, at 10:47 AM, Breanne M. Staley wrote:

>>>
>>> Dear Mr. Swyers and Mr. Zhang,

>>> Please find attached a courtesy copy of Opposer's Motion to
>>> Compel Applicant's Initial Disclosures which was filed today at the
>>> TTAB.

>>>
>>> Regards,

>>>
>>> Breanne M. Staley, DCP
>>> Trademark Paralegal to
>>> Jennifer Fraser
>>> Christina M. Hillson
>>> Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
>>> 1007 N. Orange St.
>>> P.O. Box 2207
>>> Wilmington, DE 19899
>>> (302) 888-6282 direct #
>>> (302) 658-5614 fax
>>> bstaley@cblh.com

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
>> transmission
>>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
>> Any
>>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
>> be
>>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to
> promote,
>>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
>> addressed
>>> herein.

>>>
>>> <Motion to Compel IDs.pdf>

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
>> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
>> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a
> transmission
>> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts.
> Any
>> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot
> be
>> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
>> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter
> addressed
>> herein.
>>

>>
>>
>> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the
> addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information.
> Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission
> error and delete the message and any attachments and any printouts. Any
> tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be
> used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote,
> market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed
> herein.
>
>
>
> This e-mail and any attachment is intended only for use by the addressee(s) and may contain privileged and confidential
information. Please email or call 302-658-9141 to advise the sender of a transmission error and delete the message and
any attachments and any printouts. Any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended and cannot be used to
avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or
matter addressed herein.
> <motion.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of Roggs.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of RFP.pdf><Opposer's 1st Set of RFA.pdf><DR.
AMLIN first set of Interrogatories.doc><DR. AMLIN first set of RFP.doc><DR. AMLIN first set of
RFA.doc><motion.pdf>