

TTAB

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

77700557

EJW

Mailed: February 11, 2014

Opposition No. 91195328

Hard Candy Cases, LLC

v.

Hard Candy, LLC

ELIZABETH J. WINTER, INTERLOCUTORY ATTORNEY:

On February 11, 2014,¹ the Board, by the assigned Interlocutory Attorney (Elizabeth Winter), conducted a brief telephone conference with the parties (represented by Stuart West for opposer and Gabriel Grossman for applicant) regarding opposer's emailed request² to suspend the proceedings so that the testimonial deposition of applicant's witness, Jerome Falic, could be postponed and rescheduled. See Trademark Rule 2.120(i)(1); and TBMP § 502.06(a) (3d ed. rev.2 2013). This order summarizes the conference and the Board's order in connection with opposer's motion.

¹ On the same date in the previously consolidated proceeding, the Board entered judgment against applicant in Opp. No. 91195327 only, sustained that opposition, and refused registration based on applicant's withdrawal of application Serial No. 77700559.

² See attached.



03-04-2014

In accordance with the Board's order mailed December 9, 2013, applicant's trial period closes on February 22, 2014 (see also Board order mailed February 5, 2014). Opposer requested that the Board suspend this proceeding to give opposer additional time to prepare for the testimonial deposition which is scheduled for the next day, February 12, 2014, and as grounds therefor argues that applicant had just abandoned one of the two opposed applications and that opposer would be prejudiced with respect to the deposition insofar as its strategy would change. Applicant opposed opposer's request, stating that opposer had sufficient notice of applicant's intent to abandon application Serial No. 77700559, that there would be great difficulty in rearranging the deposition given the busy schedule of the deponent, and that the proceedings were pending already for almost four years.

During the conference, the Board noted that applicant had notified opposer in early January, 2014, of its intent to withdraw the application; that in opposer's opposition to applicant's motion to withdraw without prejudice, opposer requested that the Board find that application Serial No. 77700559 is withdrawn with prejudice (response at 2); and that on February 5, 2014, one week before the deposition, the Board allowed applicant to withdraw its application without the designation "without prejudice." Thus,

Opposition No. 91195328

applicant's second withdrawal of the referenced application without opposer's consent (filed on February 10, 2014) could have been submitted at any time since February 5th. In view of the foregoing, because opposer never consented to the withdrawal of the application, the Board determined that opposer has been on notice that judgment may be entered against applicant with respect to the involved application since last week, if not since January, 2014. Additionally, other than opposer's general statement concerning its strategy as regards the deposition, opposer did not elaborate further as to how it would be prejudiced with respect to the deposition now that application Serial No. 77700559 was no longer involved in the proceeding.

In view of the foregoing, and noting the delay to the proceeding that would likely be caused if the deposition were to be rescheduled and finding insufficient prejudice to opposer, the Board denied opposer's motion to suspend and to reschedule the testimony deposition of Mr. Falic.

Trial dates remain as set in the Board's order dated December 9, 2013.

Opposition No. 91195328



From: [Redacted]
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Request for the [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted]

Mr. [Redacted],
After you order last Wednesday (February 5, 2020), Mr. [Redacted] again requested (approximately 20 minutes ago) that we consent to a motion to withdraw and we refused to provide consent. A few moments ago, Mr. [Redacted] filed a motion to (re)withdraw to withdraw one of the two trademark applications at issue.

The motion is brought less than 48 hours prior to a scheduled deposition (scheduled for 10 am ET on February 10, 2020). The filing of the motion on (re)withdrawal and the deposition material provided to our client in its preparation for the deposition and has been brought at such a late hour to cause undue prejudicial impact. A litigating the record's case list to see Mr. [Redacted] has adequate time to prepare and file a motion, but intentionally delayed the initiation of the present motion until less than 48 hours prior to his scheduled deposition in order to have the most significant impact on Opposer's deposition preparation.

Opposer is entitled to time to adequately prepare a response to the present motion which will include, inter alia, a request for judgment against Applicant and therefore requests that the Brand immediately respond to the present (re)withdrawal by the discussion of the matter. Regardless of the Brand's reply, Opposer will require time to prepare an adequate response to the motion and properly prepare for the deposition of Mr. [Redacted].

Best regards,

Shawn J. Wiley, P.C.
West & Associates, LLC
2825 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 219
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: 310.352.2220
Fax: 310.352.2225




United States Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA, 22313-1451
If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days
OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

91195328

STUART J. WEST
WEST & ASSOCIATES PC
~~1000 HENRY BLVD SUITE 200~~
~~ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304~~



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
0.21M
0004244562
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314
FEB 20 2014
\$00.480

RTS

9459787965 0042

