

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: May 25, 2010

Opposition Nos. 91193572 (parent)
91194675

FireEye, Inc.

v.

FireID International
S.A.R.L.

**Robert H. Coggins,
Interlocutory Attorney:**

This case now comes up on opposer's motion (filed May 11, 2010, in Opposition No. 91194675) to consolidate Opposition Nos. 91193572 and 91194675.

Federal R. Civ. P. 42(a), as made applicable by Trademark Rule 2.116(a), provides with respect to consolidation of proceedings that, when actions involve a common question of law or fact, the Board may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all of the matters in issue in the actions; it may order all the actions consolidated; and it may make such orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

The Board has reviewed the records in Opposition Nos. 91193572 and 91194675, and concludes that these cases involve

Opposition Nos. 91193572 and 91194675

identical parties, similar marks, and common questions of law and fact. It would therefore be appropriate to consolidate these proceedings pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

Accordingly, opposer's motion to consolidate is granted as well-taken. The above-noted proceedings are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs. See *Helene Curtis Industries Inc. v. Suave Shoe Corp.*, 13 USPQ2d 1618 (TTAB 1989), and *Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management*, 26 USPQ2d 1432 (TTAB 1993).

The Board file will be maintained in Opposition No. 91193572 as the "parent" case. Except for the answer to be filed in "child" Opposition No. 91194675, the parties should no longer file separate papers in connection with each proceeding. Only a single copy of each paper should be filed by the parties in the "parent" case (except for the answer in Opposition No. 91194675) and each paper should bear the consolidated case caption as set forth above.

The schedule for these consolidated cases remains as set in the Board's May 12, 2010 order issued in Opposition No. 91194675. Accordingly, proceedings remain suspended through November 8, 2010. For the parties' convenience, the schedule is reproduced below.

Opposition Nos. 91193572 and 91194675

Proceedings Resume	11/9/2010
Time to Answer in Opposition No. 91194675	12/4/2010
Deadline for Discovery Conference in Opposition No. 91194675	1/3/2011
Discovery Opens in Opposition No. 91194675	1/3/2011
Initial Disclosures Due in Opposition No. 91194675	2/2/2011
Expert Disclosures Due	6/2/2011
Discovery Closes	7/2/2011
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	8/16/2011
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	9/30/2011
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures	10/15/2011
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	11/29/2011
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures	12/14/2011
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	1/13/2012

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125. Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.