

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**  
**P.O. Box 1451**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1451**

mc

Mailed: October 19, 2009

Opposition No. 91190899

Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha, a/t/a  
Sharp Corporation

v.

Onsharp, Inc.

Cheryl Goodman, Interlocutory Attorney:

On October 14, 2009, applicant attempted to file a timely extension of time to file its answer. Applicant states that due to an ESSTA glitch, applicant was unable to electronically file online at that time.

On October 15, 2009, applicant successfully filed its extension of time, which the Board construes as applicant's motion to reopen its time to file an answer.

When a motion to extend, or a motion to reopen, is filed without the consent of the nonmoving party, the Board normally will defer action on the motion until after the expiration of the nonmoving party's time to file a brief in opposition to the motion. Accordingly, inasmuch as opposer has not consented to the request for extension of time, consideration of applicant's motion is deferred until expiration of opposer's time to file a response to the

Opposition No. 91190899

motion. In the event no response is filed, the motion will be granted as conceded.