

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

MBA/rk/nmt

Mailed: April 27, 2009

Opposition No. 91188271

Neurim Pharmaceuticals
(1991) Ltd.

v.

Nzymia, LLC

Michael B. Adlin, Interlocutory Attorney

Applicant's attorney's motion, filed March 17, 2009, to withdraw as applicant's counsel of record in this proceeding is hereby **DENIED, WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, because it fails to comply with the requirements of Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40.

Specifically, the motion does not include one or more of the following requirements: (1) a specification of the basis for the request; (2) a statement that the practitioner has notified the client of his or her desire to withdraw from employment, and has allowed time for employment of another practitioner; (3) a statement that all papers and property that relate to the proceeding and to which the client is entitled have been delivered to the client; (4) if any part of

Opposition No. 91188271

a fee paid in advance has not been earned, a statement that the unearned part has been refunded; and (5) proof of service of the request upon the client¹ and upon every other party to the proceeding. See Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40, 37 CFR § 10.40. *Cf. In re Legendary Inc.*, 26 USPQ2d 1478 (Comm'r 1992).

In view thereof, counsel is allowed **THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this order to submit a motion which complies with Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40.

Except to the extent indicated above, proceedings are suspended. The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and appropriate dates will be rescheduled in due course.

NEWS FROM THE TTAB:

The USPTO published a notice of final rulemaking in the Federal Register on August 1, 2007, at 72 F.R. 42242. By this notice, various rules governing Trademark Trial and Appeal Board inter partes proceedings are amended. Certain amendments have an effective date of August 31, 2007, while most have an effective date of November 1, 2007. For further information, the parties are referred to a reprint of the final rule and a chart summarizing the affected rules, their changes, and effective dates, both viewable on the USPTO website via these web addresses:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242.pdf>
http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242_FinalRuleChart.pdf

¹ The motion's certificate of service reveals that the motion was served only on opposer's counsel, not applicant itself.

Opposition No. 91188271

By one rule change effective August 31, 2007, the Board's standard protective order is made applicable to all TTAB inter partes cases, whether already pending or commenced on or after that date. However, as explained in the final rule and chart, this change will not affect any case in which any protective order has already been approved or imposed by the Board. Further, as explained in the final rule, parties are free to agree to a substitute protective order or to supplement or amend the standard order even after August 31, 2007, subject to Board approval. The standard protective order can be viewed using the following web address:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/ttab/tbmp/stndagmnt.htm>