ac/gcp

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: August 6, 2008

Opposition No. 91184004

Adobe Systems Incorporated

v.

Airlogica Corporation

On July 1, 2008, the parties filed applicant's proposed amendment to its application Serial No. 77193356, with opposer's consent, and opposer's withdrawal without prejudice of the opposition, contingent upon entry of the amendment.

By the proposed amendment applicant seeks to amend the identification of services in International Class 9:

From:

> **"Automated systems, namely, software, hardware and communications devices for planning, scheduling, controlling, monitoring and providing information on transportation assets and parts thereof; Computer software for creating searchable databases of information and data; Computer software for providing an on-line database in the field of transaction processing to upload transactional data, provide statistical analysis, and produce notifications and reports; Computer software for the collection, editing, organizing, modifying, book marking, transmission, storage and sharing of data and information; Computer software for use in customer relationship management (CRM); Computer software that**

provides real-time, integrated business management intelligence by combining information from various databases and presenting it in an easy-to-understand user interface."

To:

"computer software exclusively for the airline and travel industries for providing a database in the field of reservation transaction processing to loan transactional data, provide statistical analysis, and produce notifications and reports for product distribution and revenue integrity; computer software exclusively for the airline and travel industries for the collection, editing, organizing, modifying, transmission, storage and sharing of reservation data and information for product distribution and revenue integrity."

Inasmuch as the amendment is clearly limiting in nature as required by Trademark Rule 2.71(b), and because opposer consents thereto, it is approved and entered. See Trademark Rule 2.133(a).

The contingency in opposer's withdrawal having now been met, the opposition is dismissed without prejudice.

By the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board