

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: October 3, 2008

Opposition No. 91182357

Converse Inc.

v.

Pepropoulos, Ilias

Jennifer Krisp, Interlocutory Attorney:

On September 18, 2008, the Board noted that applicant's communication filed on September 5, 2008 is an informal response that fails to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b), and allowed applicant 20 days in which to file a proper answer to the notice of opposition pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b). On September 22, 2008, applicant filed a communication which (1) fails to respond to each paragraph enumerated in the notice of opposition as required, (2) appears to be responsive in part to paragraph 5 only of the notice of opposition, and (3) fails to indicate proof of service thereof on opposer as required by Trademark Rule 2.119 and as required in the Board's prior orders in this proceeding.¹

¹ The Board includes a copy of applicant's September 22, 2008 communication with opposer's copy of this order. The Board will not consider any further paper filed by applicant which does not evidence proof of service thereof on opposer pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.119.

Opposition No. 91182357

Applicant's papers filed on September 22, 2008 constitute the third instance in which applicant has failed to file a legally sufficient answer to the notice of opposition.

In view thereof, notice of default is hereby entered against applicant under Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).

Applicant is allowed thirty (30) days from the mailing date of this order to show cause why judgment by default should not be entered against applicant in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b).

Proceedings herein are otherwise suspended.