

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

RR/Winter

Mailed: November 21, 2007

Opposition No. 91180392

Anheuser-Busch, Incorporated

v.

Gordo Enterprises, Inc.

Jyll S. Taylor, Administrative Trademark Judge:

On November 6, 2007, applicant's attorneys filed a request to withdraw as applicant's counsel of record in this case.¹ The request to withdraw as counsel is in compliance with the requirements of Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40, and is accordingly granted. Gary H. Fechter and the law firm of McCarter & English LLP no longer represent applicant in this proceeding.

In view of the withdrawal of applicant's counsel, and in accordance with standard Board practice, proceedings herein are suspended, and applicant is allowed until thirty days from the mailing date of this order to appoint new counsel, or to file a paper stating that applicant chooses to represent itself. If

¹ A copy of said request has been placed in both the opposition file and the application file.

Opposition No. 91180392

applicant files no response, the Board may issue an order to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against applicant based on applicant's apparent loss of interest in the case.

The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and dates will be rescheduled at the appropriate time.

A copy of this order has been sent to all persons listed below.

cc:

Mr. Scott Gordon
Gordo Enterprises, Inc.
4339 Lakeside Drive
Kalamazoo, MI 49008

Mary E. Innis
Loeb & Loeb LLP
321 N. Clark Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60610

Gary H. Fechter
McCarter & English LLP
245 Park Avenue, 27th floor
New York, NY 10167-0001

NEWS FROM THE TTAB:

The USPTO published a notice of final rulemaking in the Federal Register on August 1, 2007, at 72 F.R. 42242. By this notice, various rules governing Trademark Trial and Appeal Board inter partes proceedings are amended. Certain amendments have an effective date of August 31, 2007, while most have an effective date of November 1, 2007. For further information, the parties are referred to a reprint of the final rule and a chart summarizing the affected rules, their changes, and effective dates, both viewable on the USPTO website via these web addresses:

Opposition No. 91180392

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242.pdf>
http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242_FinalRuleChart.pdf

By one rule change effective August 31, 2007, the Board's standard protective order is made applicable to all TTAB inter partes cases, whether already pending or commenced on or after that date. However, as explained in the final rule and chart, this change will not affect any case in which any protective order has already been approved or imposed by the Board. Further, as explained in the final rule, parties are free to agree to a substitute protective order or to supplement or amend the standard order even after August 31, 2007, subject to Board approval. The standard protective order can be viewed using the following web address:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/ttab/tbmp/stndagmnt.htm>