

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA135734**

Filing date: **04/17/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91170560
Party	Plaintiff Poulsen Roser A/S Poulsen Roser A/S ,
Correspondence Address	Louis K. Ebling Greenebaum Doll & McDonald PLLC 2800 Chemed Center255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-4728 UNITED STATES lke@gdm.com
Submission	Stipulated/Consent Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Michael G. Frey
Filer's e-mail	mgf@gdm.com
Signature	/michael.g.frey/
Date	04/17/2007
Attachments	motion extend 4.pdf ( 3 pages )(69854 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Poulsen Roser A/S	:	
	:	
Opposer,	:	Opposition No.: 91170560
	:	
v.	:	Mark:        PARTY PALETTE
	:	
Knud Nielsen Company, Inc.	:	
	:	Serial No.:   78/547,410
	:	
Applicant.	:	

---

**MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, WITH CONSENT**

A response to Applicant's Counterclaims in the above-captioned proceeding is currently due April 17, 2007. Opposer moves for an extension of time of sixty (60) days within which to answer Applicant's Counterclaims or otherwise plead. By virtue of the fact that the thirty day period ends on a Saturday, the extension shall be until and including Monday, June 18, 2007.

Opposer further moves that the period for discovery and all other dates be extended for a period of sixty (60) days, as follows:

THE PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY TO CLOSE:	July 7, 2007
30-day testimony period for party in position of plaintiff in the opposition to close:	October 5, 2007
30-day testimony period for party in position of defendant in the opposition and plaintiff in the counterclaim to close:	December 4, 2007

Motion to Extend Time  
Opposition No. 91170560  
Page 2.

15-day rebuttal testimony period for plaintiff in the  
opposition and defendant in the counterclaim to close: February 2, 2008

15-day rebuttal testimony period for plaintiff in the  
counterclaim to close: March 19, 2008

This request is sought for good cause and is not made for the purpose of delay. The parties have agreed upon terms of settlement and are in the process of securing the requisite signatures on the agreement document. The requested extension is needed to provide the parties the time to complete this process.

Counsel for Applicant consented to the requested extension in writing, in an e-mail dated April 12, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,




Louis K. Ebling  
Glenn D. Bellamy  
Michael G. Frey  
Greenebaum Doll & McDonald PLLC  
Attorneys for Opposer  
2800 Chemed Center  
255 East Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
(513) 455-7644  
[lke@gdm.com](mailto:lke@gdm.com)

Date: APRIL 17, 2007


**CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by electronic filing to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board at the United States Patent and Trademark Office this 17th day of April, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael G. Frey

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of this MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, WITH CONSENT has been served on: Nathan W. Johnson, Esq., Bradley Arant Rose & White LLP, 1819 Fifth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama 35203-2104, attorney for Applicant, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, this 17th day of April, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael G. Frey