

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA125157**

Filing date: **02/15/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91170560
Party	Plaintiff Poulsen Roser A/S Poulsen Roser A/S ,
Correspondence Address	Louis K. Ebling Greenebaum Doll & McDonald PLLC 2800 Chemed Center 255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-4728 UNITED STATES lke@gdm.com
Submission	Stipulated/Consent Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Michael G. Frey
Filer's e-mail	mgf@gdm.com
Signature	/michael.g.frey/
Date	02/15/2007
Attachments	extension3.pdf (3 pages)(72123 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Poulsen Roser A/S	:	
	:	
Opposer,	:	Opposition No.: 91170560
	:	
v.	:	Mark: PARTY PALETTE
	:	
Knud Nielsen Company, Inc.	:	
	:	Serial No.: 78/547,410
	:	
Applicant.	:	

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, WITH CONSENT

A response to Applicant's Counterclaims in the above-captioned proceeding is currently due February 16, 2007. Opposer moves for an extension of time of sixty (60) days within which to answer Applicant's Counterclaims or otherwise plead. The extension shall be until and including Tuesday, April 17, 2007.

Opposer further moves that the period for discovery and all other dates be extended for a period of sixty (60) days, as follows:

THE PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY TO CLOSE:	May 8, 2007
30-day testimony period for party in position of plaintiff in the opposition to close:	August 6, 2007
30-day testimony period for party in position of defendant in the opposition and plaintiff in the counterclaim to close:	October 5, 2007

Motion to Extend Time
Opposition No. 91170560
Page 2.


15-day rebuttal testimony period for plaintiff in the
opposition and defendant in the counterclaim to close: December 4, 2007

15-day rebuttal testimony period for plaintiff in the
counterclaim to close: January 18, 2008

This request is sought for good cause and is not made for the purpose of delay. The parties have negotiated terms of settlement and are in the process of formalizing a settlement agreement. The requested extension is needed to provide the parties additional time to finalize matters related to the settlement without the need to divert their attention to the preparation of pleadings or discovery.

Counsel for Applicant consented to the requested extension in writing, in an e-mail dated February 15, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,




Louis K. Ebling
Glenn D. Bellamy
Michael G. Frey
Greenebaum Doll & McDonald PLLC
Attorneys for Opposer
2800 Chemed Center
255 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 455-7644
lke@gdm.com

Date: FEBRUARY 15, 2007

Motion to Extend Time
Opposition No. 91170560
Page 3.


CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by electronic filing to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board at the United States Patent and Trademark Office this 15th day of February, 2007.


Michael G. Frey

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, WITH CONSENT has been served on: Nathan W. Johnson, Esq., Bradley Arant Rose & White LLP, 1819 Fifth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama 35203-2104, attorney for Applicant, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, this 15th day of February, 2007.


Michael G. Frey