

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

MBA/vw

Mailed: June 2, 2008

Opposition No. 91168906

The American Academy of
Neurology

v.

Brain Matters Inc.

Michael B. Adlin, Interlocutory Attorney:

On April 4, 2008, the Board denied applicant's attorney's motion to withdraw as counsel of record because the motion did not include proof of service. Applicant's attorney filed a response on April 24, 2008, which includes proof of service.

The request to withdraw as counsel is in compliance with the requirements of Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40, and is accordingly granted. Thomas P. Howard and the law firm of Garlin Driscoll Howard, LLC no longer represents applicant in this proceeding.

In view of the withdrawal of applicant's counsel, and in accordance with standard Board practice, proceedings herein are suspended, and applicant is allowed until **thirty (30) days** from the mailing date of this order to appoint new counsel, or to file a paper stating that applicant chooses to represent itself. If applicant files no response, the Board may issue an

Opposition No. 91168906

order to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against applicant based on applicant's apparent loss of interest in the case.

The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and the date for the oral hearing will be scheduled at the appropriate time.

A copy of this order has been sent to all persons listed below.

cc:

Thomas P. Howard
Garlin Driscoll Howard, LLC
245 Century Circle, Suite 101
Louisville, CO 80027

Mr. Chris Touscher
Brain Matters, Inc.
201 University Blvd., Suite 200
Denver, CO 80206

Erika S. Koster
Oppenheimer, Wolff & Donnelly LLP
45 South Seventh Street, Suite 3300
Minneapolis, MN 55402

NEWS FROM THE TTAB:

The USPTO published a notice of final rulemaking in the Federal Register on August 1, 2007, at 72 F.R. 42242. By this notice, various rules governing Trademark Trial and Appeal Board inter partes proceedings are amended. Certain amendments have an effective date of August 31, 2007, while most have an effective date of November 1, 2007. For further information, the parties are referred to a reprint of the final rule and a chart summarizing the affected rules, their changes, and effective dates, both viewable on the USPTO website via these web addresses:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242.pdf>
http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242_FinalRuleChart.pdf

By one rule change effective August 31, 2007, the Board's standard protective order is made applicable to all TTAB inter partes cases, whether already pending or commenced on or after that date. However, as explained in the final rule and chart, this change will not affect any case in which any protective order has already been approved or imposed by the Board. Further, as explained in the final rule, parties are free to agree to a substitute protective order or to supplement or amend the standard order even after August 31, 2007, subject to Board approval. The standard protective order can be viewed using the following web address:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/ttab/tbmp/stndagmnt.htm>