

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

em

Mailed: December 22, 2005

Opposition No. 91166108

CAREFIRST OF MARYLAND, INC.
d/b/a CAREFI

v.

PRINCETON BIOMEDITECH
CORPORATION

Eric McWilliams, Paralegal Specialist:

Answer was due at last reset on October 12, 2005. A review of the record shows that an answer has not been filed.

This case now comes up for consideration of opposer's motion, filed November 15, 2005, for default judgment against applicant for failure to file an answer. The motion is uncontested.¹

Inasmuch as applicant failed to file an answer in this case, and failed to respond to opposer's motion in any

¹ If a defendant fails to file an answer to a complaint during the time allowed therefor, the Board, on its own initiative, may issue a notice of default allowing the defendant time to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against it. The issue of whether default judgment should be entered against a defendant for failure to file an answer may also be raised by means of a motion filed by the party in the position of

manner, the motion for default judgment is granted. See Trademark Rule 2.127(a). Accordingly, judgment is hereby entered against applicant, the notice of opposition is sustained, and registration to applicant is refused. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55 and Trademark Rule 2.127(a).²

***By the Trademark Trial
and Appeal Board***

plaintiff. In such cases, the motion may serve as a substitute for the Board's issuance of a notice of default.

² Applicant's motion to extend filed September 15, 2005 is granted as conceded.