

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451**

Mailed: June 29, 2005

Opposition No. 91163556

TARGET BRANDS, INC.

v.

SHAUN N.G. HUGHES

Thomas W. Wellington
Interlocutory Attorney,
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board:

On June 29, 2005, at 11:45 am eastern time, the Board held a telephone conference between Michael Norwick, Esq., counsel for opposer, and James Uhlir, Esq., counsel for applicant, and the above-referenced Board attorney responsible for resolving interlocutory matters in this case.

During the telephone conference, the parties discussed entering into a protective agreement. Specifically, the parties attempted to reach an understanding as to what types of information may be shared with the parties' in-house counsel. Without coming to an agreement during the telephone conference, counsel for the parties' agreed to continue in their attempts to mutually agree to a protective

agreement. Failing which, the Board attorney noted that either party may move for a protective order.

In order to facilitate their discussions, counsel for the parties agreed to a sixty (60) extension to the close of discovery. In view thereof, the Board hereby reschedules the close of discovery, including the trial dates, as follows:

THE PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY TO CLOSE:	September 13, 2005
30-day testimony period for party in position of plaintiff to close:	December 12, 2005
30-day testimony period for party in position of defendant to close:	February 10, 2006
15-day rebuttal testimony period to close:	March 27, 2006

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.