

T TAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BARRY COFFEY;)
PAUL LAFONTAINE;)
TIM PRUITT; and DONNY REAGAN,)
)
Opposers,)
)
vs.)
)
VOICE OF GOD RECORDINGS, INC.)
)

Applicant.)

Opposition No. 91158856



02-02-2004

U.S. Patent & TMOtc/TM Mail Ropt Dt. #78

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant Voice of God Recordings, Inc. ("VGR") submits the following in answer to the Notice of Opposition filed by Opposers Barry Coffey, Paul LaFontaine, Tim Pruitt, and Donny Reagan in this proceeding.

As to allegations in the introductory paragraph of the Notice, VGR lacks knowledge sufficient to form a belief in the veracity of such allegations, and therefore denies the same.

1. VGR admits that Opposers Coffey, LaFontaine, Pruitt and Reagan are ministers in the United States. VGR lacks knowledge sufficient to form a belief in the veracity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.

2. VGR admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Notice.

3. VGR admits the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Notice.

4. VGR believes that there has been unlicensed copying of printed materials and trading on the name WILLIAM BRANHAM by others at times. However, VGR lacks information sufficient to form a belief in the veracity of the totality of the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.

5. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Notice.

6. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Notice.

7. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Notice.

8. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Notice.

9. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Notice.

10. VGR believes that Paragraph 10 of the Notice repeats allegations made in prior paragraphs, and therefore repeats and incorporates by reference herein its responses in Paragraphs 4-9 above. To the extent there are any new allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Notice, VGR denies such allegations.


11. In response to the first clause of Paragraph 11 of the Notice, VGR repeats and incorporates by reference herein its responses in Paragraphs 4-10 above. In response to the second clause of Paragraph 11, of the Notice, VGR denies the allegations of the same.

12. VGR denies the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Notice.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests judgment in its favor in this proceeding upholding the allowance of the present application, and issuance of a registration based on the present application.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Asst. Commissioner for Trademarks, BOX TTAB, 2900 Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA on **January 28, 2004**.


Christopher A. Brown
Name of Registered Representative


Signature

28 January 2004
Date of Signature

261544


Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Christopher A. Brown
Denise M. Gosnell
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty,
McNett & Henry LLP
Bank One Center/Tower
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137
(317) 634-3456

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION was served on this 28th day of January , 2004 via first class mail to:

Aaron D. McGeary, Esq.
Law Offices of Aaron D. McGeary
405 Airport Freeway, Suite 5
Bedford, Texas 76021



Christopher A. Brown