

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

em

Mailed: December 15, 2003

Opposition No. 91156932

Linx Technologies, Inc.

v.

RECOTON AUDIO CORPORATION

Eric McWilliams, Paralegal Specialist

Answer was due on August 9, 2003. A review of the record shows that an answer has not been filed.

This case now comes up for consideration of opposer's motion, filed October 6, 2003, for default judgment against applicant for failure to file an answer. The motion is uncontested.¹

Inasmuch as applicant failed to file an answer in this case, and failed to respond to opposer's motion in any manner, the motion for default judgment is granted. See Trademark Rule 2.127(a). Accordingly, judgment is hereby entered against applicant, the notice of opposition is

¹ If a defendant fails to file an answer to a complaint during the time allowed therefor, the Board, on its own initiative, may issue a notice of default allowing the defendant time to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against it. The issue of whether default judgment should be entered against a defendant for failure to file an answer may also be raised by means of a motion filed by the party in the position of

sustained, and registration to applicant is refused. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55 and Trademark Rule 2.127(a).

***By the Trademark Trial
and Appeal Board***

plaintiff. In such cases, the motion may serve as a substitute for the Board's issuance of a notice of default.