

6. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

7. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

8. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

9. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

10. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

11. Applicant does not have sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the accuracy of paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition and on that basis denies the same.

12. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition.

13. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition.

14. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition.

15. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition.

16. With respect to paragraph 16, applicant acknowledges that if she is granted registration she would obtain certain rights to the use of her mark. Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 16.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sumatra Kendrick, declare under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true and correct.

On January 28, 2003, I caused the following document(s) to be served:

Response to Notice of Opposition

in the following manner:


(XX) (BY MAIL) I sealed said envelopes and deposited them so sealed and addressed with the said documents enclosed therein and with the postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Post office, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

() (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelopes to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee.

I enclosed true copies of said document(s) in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed as follows:

John C. Stringham
Workman, Nydegger & Seeley
1000 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Executed on January 28, 2003, at Oakland, California.


Sumatra Kendrick


17. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays as follows:

- A. Judgment in the present Opposition be entered in favor of Applicant and against Opposer,
- B. The present Opposition be denied; and
- C. Registration of Application serial No. 76212011 be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Date: January 28, 2003



Sumatra Kendrick
11760 San Pablo Ave
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Date of deposit: January 28, 2003

I hereby certify that the enclosed Response to Notice of Opposition is being deposited with the United States Postal-Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, on the date indicated above, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513.



Signature

TTAB

STAACHI'S Co. 96™
11760 San Pablo Ave. #3-202
El Cerrito, California 94530
(510) 235-8327

January 28, 2003

VIA U.S. FIRST CLASS MAIL

BOX TTAB/ NO FEE
Commissioner for Trademarks
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-3513



01-31-2003

U.S. Patent & TMO/c/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #70

Re: Opposition: 152,940
For: STAACHI'S CO. 1996
Serial No: 76/212011

Dear Madam/Sir:

Please find enclosed:

1. Response to Notice of Opposition.
2. Postcard to confirm receipt, return to sender.

Sincerely,

Sumatra Kendrick

Sumatra Kendrick
STAACHISCO1@netscape.net

DD