

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA175633**

Filing date: **11/19/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91120453
Party	Plaintiff HEARST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and Hearst Magazines Property, Inc.
Correspondence Address	THEODORE H. DAVIS, JR KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309-4530 UNITED STATES
Submission	Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Theodore H. Davis Jr.
Filer's e-mail	tdavis@kilpatrickstockton.com, mbaratta@kilpatrickstockton.com, lestrin@kilpatrickstockton.com, bfitzpatrick@hearst.com, mwanamaker@hearst.com
Signature	/Theodore H. Davis Jr./
Date	11/19/2007
Attachments	Hearst v. Wilson - Motion to Extend (Responses for Cross-Examination).pdf (3 pages)(11339 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Hearst Communications, Inc. and)
Hearst Magazines Property, Inc.,)
)
Opposers,)
)
v.) Opposition No. 91120453
)
Charles Browning Wilson,)
)
)
Applicant.)

**OPPOSERS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE AND SERVE
RESPONSES TO APPLICANT'S SECOND CROSS-EXAMINATION
ON WRITTEN QUESTIONS**

Opposers, Hearst Communications, Inc. and Hearst Magazines Property, Inc., respectfully move the Board for a two-week extension until December 3, 2007 in which to file and serve responses to Applicant's Second Cross-Examination on Written Questions.

Pursuant to an agreement between the parties, the Board previously has authorized the submission of testimony in this action on written questions, rather than through deposition transcripts. One such set of testimony, Opposers' Responses to Applicant's Second Cross-Examination on Written Questions, was due to be filed and served on November 19, 2007. Both prior to, and during the pendency of Applicant's questions, the parties continued to engage in talks aimed at resolving this matter without the need for further action by the Board. On November 15, 2007, counsel for Applicant, Robert M. Steckman, extended an informal offer to counsel for Opposers for an extension of time in which to respond to Applicant's cross-examination. Although counsel for Opposers have attempted to contact Mr. Steckman by telephone to confirm his client's consent to this particular motion, they have been unsuccessful

in doing so; accordingly, this motion is being filed without Applicant's consent. Nevertheless, because the next trial date is the deadline for the submission of Opposers' trial brief, rather than any filing by Applicant, Opposers respectfully submit that Applicant will be not be prejudiced by the grant of Opposers' motion, if, indeed, Applicant ultimately objects to it.

Dated: November 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Theodore H. Davis Jr./
Theodore H. Davis Jr.
Olivia Maria Baratta
Lauren T. Estrin
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Tel: (404) 815-6500
Fax: (404) 815-6555
Attorneys for Applicant

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Hearst Communications, Inc. and)
Hearst Magazines Property, Inc.,)
)
Opposers,)
) Opposition No. 91120453
v.)
)
Charles Browning Wilson,)
)
Applicant.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, I served the foregoing **OPPOSERS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE AND SERVE RESPONSES TO APPLICANT'S SECOND CROSS-EXAMINATION ON WRITTEN QUESTIONS**, upon Applicant by depositing a copy thereof in the United States mail as first class mail, postage pre-paid, addressed as follows:

Robert M. Steckman, Esq.
The Law Office of Robert Steckman, P.C.
111 John Street, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10038

This the 19th day of November, 2007.

/Lauren T. Estrin/
Lauren T. Estrin