

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

cv

Mailed: July 1, 2004

Opposition No. 91116629

ZamaData, Inc., substituted
as plaintiff for Truck.Net,
Inc.

v.

Qualcomm, Incorporated

Albert Zervas, Interlocutory Attorney

On April 16, 2004, opposer's attorneys filed a request to withdraw as opposer's counsel of record in this case.

Included within opposer's attorneys' request is a copy of an email from Craig Zwiener, who is identified as "CEO" of opposer, and who requests that "all files" be forwarded to the Smith & Jackson Law Firm. The Board concludes that the request to withdraw as counsel is in compliance with the requirements of Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40, and is accordingly granted. The law firm of Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi, LLC no longer represents opposer in this proceeding.

In view of the withdrawal of opposer's counsel and of Mr. Zwiener's instruction to forward all files to the Smith &

Jackson Law Firm, opposer is allowed until thirty days from the date of this order to inform the Board whether the Smith & Jackson Law Firm will be representing opposer in this proceeding, and if not, whether opposer will be representing itself. If opposer files no response, the Board may issue an order to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against opposer based on opposer's apparent loss of interest in the case.

Proceedings are otherwise suspended.¹

A copy of this order has been sent to all persons listed below.

cc:

Jonathan P. Soifer
Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi, LLC
12412 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63131

Craig Zweiner
ZamaData, Inc.
545 East Elm
Lebanon, MO 65536

Neil Greenstein
IP WEB Law Group
55 S. Market Street
Suite 1630
San Jose, CA 95113

Steve Jackson
Smith & Jackson Law Firm
123 North Jefferson Avenue
Lebanon, MO 65536

¹ The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and dates will be rescheduled at the appropriate time. Also, in view of the above, applicant's consented motion (filed June 14, 2004) to suspend proceedings is moot.