

Request for Reconsideration after Final Action

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
SERIAL NUMBER	86801479
LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED	LAW OFFICE 114
MARK SECTION	
MARK	http://tmng-al.uspto.gov/resting2/api/img/86801479/large
LITERAL ELEMENT	KEITH MOON
STANDARD CHARACTERS	YES
USPTO-GENERATED IMAGE	YES
MARK STATEMENT	The mark consists of standard characters, without claim to any particular font style, size or color.
ARGUMENT(S)	
<u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION</u>	
<p>Responsive to the final Office Action dated April 21, 2016, the Examining Trademark Attorney requests that Applicant submit a Verification Declaration in support of the application. However, Applicant submitted this Declaration when she filed her response to the first Office Action on April 1, 2016. (A copy of the filed Verification Declaration is attached in the Evidence). Therefore, Applicant does not understand the Examining Attorney's request. The Examining Attorney does not state that the submitted specimen is not acceptable. Applicant can only guess that the Examining Attorney was not aware of the Declaration had been filed with the response.</p> <p>Applicant notes with appreciation that a search of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office records did not reveal any conflicting pending or registered mark that could bar the registration of Applicant's mark.</p> <p>Applicant thanks the Examining Attorney for her more than helpful comments and suggestions, and it is believed that the application is now in condition to be approved for publication. Prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.</p>	
EVIDENCE SECTION	
EVIDENCE FILE NAME(S)	
ORIGINAL PDF FILE	evi_7113015215-20160926125012084201_479 - keith moon - veri_dec.pdf
CONVERTED PDF FILE(S) (1 page)	\\TICRS\EXPORT17\IMAGEOUT17\868\014\86801479\xml1\RFR0002.JPG
DESCRIPTION OF EVIDENCE FILE	Copy of Verification Declaration
CORRESPONDENCE SECTION	
ORIGINAL ADDRESS	STEPHEN J. STRAUSS FULWIDER PATTON LLP 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES

	California US 90045
NEW CORRESPONDENCE SECTION	
NAME	STEPHEN J. STRAUSS
FIRM NAME	Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corp
DOCKET/REFERENCE NUMBER	D1021-5008
STREET	1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500
CITY	LOS ANGELES
STATE	California
ZIP/POSTAL CODE	90017
COUNTRY	United States
PHONE	213-891-0700
FAX	213-896-0400
EMAIL	ipdocket@buchalter.com; sstrauss@buchalter.com; aharvey@buchalter.com
AUTHORIZED EMAIL COMMUNICATION	Yes
SIGNATURE SECTION	
RESPONSE SIGNATURE	/Stephen J. Strauss/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Stephen J. Strauss
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	Attorney of Record, California Bar Member
SIGNATORY'S PHONE NUMBER	213-891-0700
DATE SIGNED	09/26/2016
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY	YES
CONCURRENT APPEAL NOTICE FILED	YES
FILING INFORMATION SECTION	
SUBMIT DATE	Mon Sep 26 12:54:28 EDT 2016
TEAS STAMP	USPTO/RFR-XX.XXX.XXX.XX-2 0160926125428445664-86801 479-550159a72f7f6edd873c6 4b236dcb7b8c27229d9ecd94c f363d3c372a7c385a18-N/A-N /A-20160926125012084201

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
PTO Form 1960 (Rev 10/2011)
OMB No. 0651-0050 (Exp 07/31/2017)

Request for Reconsideration after Final Action

To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

Application serial no. **86801479** KEITH MOON(Standard Characters, see <http://tmng-al.uspto.gov/resting2/api/img/86801479/large>) has been amended as follows:

ARGUMENT(S)

In response to the substantive refusal(s), please note the following:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Responsive to the final Office Action dated April 21, 2016, the Examining Trademark Attorney requests that Applicant submit a Verification Declaration in support of the application. However, Applicant submitted this Declaration when she filed her response to the first Office Action on April 1, 2016. (A copy of the filed Verification Declaration is attached in the Evidence). Therefore, Applicant does not understand the Examining Attorney's request. The Examining Attorney does not state that the submitted specimen is not acceptable. Applicant can only guess that the Examining Attorney was not aware of the Declaration had been filed with the response.

Applicant notes with appreciation that a search of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office records did not reveal any conflicting pending or registered mark that could bar the registration of Applicant's mark.

Applicant thanks the Examining Attorney for her more than helpful comments and suggestions, and it is believed that the application is now in condition to be approved for publication. Prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.

EVIDENCE

Evidence in the nature of Copy of Verification Declaration has been attached.

Original PDF file:

[evi_7113015215-20160926125012084201_.479 - keith_moon - veri_dec.pdf](#)

Converted PDF file(s) (1 page)

[Evidence-1](#)

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS CHANGE

Applicant proposes to amend the following:

Current:

STEPHEN J. STRAUSS
FULWIDER PATTON LLP
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES
California
US
90045

Proposed:

STEPHEN J. STRAUSS of Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corp, having an address of
1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 LOS ANGELES, California 90017

United States

ipdocket@buchalter.com;sstrauss@buchalter.com; aharvey@buchalter.com

213-891-0700

213-896-0400

The docket/reference number is D1021-5008 .

SIGNATURE(S)**Request for Reconsideration Signature**

Signature: /Stephen J. Strauss/ Date: 09/26/2016

Signatory's Name: Stephen J. Strauss

Signatory's Position: Attorney of Record, California Bar Member

Signatory's Phone Number: 213-891-0700

The signatory has confirmed that he/she is an attorney who is a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a U.S. state, which includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and other federal territories and possessions; and he/she is currently the owner's/holder's attorney or an associate thereof; and to the best of his/her knowledge, if prior to his/her appointment another U.S. attorney or a Canadian attorney/agent not currently associated with his/her company/firm previously represented the owner/holder in this matter: (1) the owner/holder has filed or is concurrently filing a signed revocation of or substitute power of attorney with the USPTO; (2) the USPTO has granted the request of the prior representative to withdraw; (3) the owner/holder has filed a power of attorney appointing him/her in this matter; or (4) the owner's/holder's appointed U.S. attorney or Canadian attorney/agent has filed a power of attorney appointing him/her as an associate attorney in this matter.

The applicant is filing a Notice of Appeal in conjunction with this Request for Reconsideration.

Mailing Address: STEPHEN J. STRAUSS
Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corp
1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500
LOS ANGELES, California 90017

Serial Number: 86801479

Internet Transmission Date: Mon Sep 26 12:54:28 EDT 2016

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/RFR-XX.XXX.XXX.XX-2016092612542844

5664-86801479-550159a72f7f6edd873c64b236

dcb7b8c27229d9ecd94cf363d3c372a7c385a18-

N/A-N/A-20160926125012084201

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Amanda De Wolf
Serial No.: 86/801,479
Filing Date: October 27, 2015
Mark: KEITH MOON


VERIFICATION DECLARATION

I, Amanda De Wolf, declare:

1. I am the Applicants in this case, and am familiar with its business and records.

2. The undersigned, being hereby warned that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, and that such willful false statements, and the like, may jeopardize the validity of the application or any resulting registration, declare that she is properly authorized to execute this application on behalf of the applicant; she believes the applicant to be the owner of the mark sought to be registered; that the applicant has a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods listed in the application as of the application filing date; that the facts set forth in the application are true and correct; that to the best of her knowledge and belief no other person, firm, corporation, or association has the right to use the mark in commerce, either in the identical form thereof or in such near resemblance thereto as to be likely, when used on or in connection with the goods of such other person, to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive; and that all statements made of her own knowledge is true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Dated: 3/30/16

By: 

Amanda De Wolf
Applicant