

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451
General Contact Number: 571-272-8500

Mailed: January 4, 2016

In re *Unistrut International Corporation*

Serial No. 86230383

Filed: 3/24/2014

MICHAEL E HALL
KACVINSKY DAISAK BLUNI PLLC
3120 PRINCETON PIKE
SUITE 303
LAWRENCEVILLE, NJ 08648

Veronica P. White, Paralegal Specialist:

Applicant's request for remand filed December 30, 2015 is noted.

Applicant seeks remand in order for the Trademark Examining Attorney to consider the proposed amendment, which is an attempt by Applicant to resolve the issue on appeal. Good cause having been shown, the request for remand is granted, action on the appeal is suspended, and the application is remanded to the Examining Attorney for consideration.

If the amendment is accepted and the mark is found registrable on the basis of this paper, the appeal will be moot and proceedings on the appeal will terminate in due course. If the amendment is accepted but the refusal to register is ultimately maintained, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action so indicating,

and notify the Board. The appeal will then be resumed and Applicant allowed time in which to file a reply brief if it so chooses. If the Examining Attorney determines that the amendment to the identification is not acceptable, the Examining Attorney should indicate in the Office Action the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable, and notify the Board for resumption of proceedings in the appeal.¹

However, if the Examining Attorney believes that the problems with the proposed identification can be resolved, the Examining Attorney is encouraged to contact Applicant, either by telephone or written Office Action, in an attempt to do so.

¹ If the Examining Attorney believes that the proposed amendment is unacceptable because it exceeds the scope of the original identification, or the identification as it has subsequently been amended, then the Examining Attorney may not issue a final refusal unless Applicant was previously advised that amendments broadening the identification are prohibited under Trademark Rule 2.71(a).