

## Request for Reconsideration after Final Action

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
<b>SERIAL NUMBER</b>	85747739
<b>LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED</b>	LAW OFFICE 112
<b>MARK SECTION (no change)</b>	
<b>EVIDENCE SECTION</b>	
<b>EVIDENCE FILE NAME(S)</b>	
<b>ORIGINAL PDF FILE</b>	<a href="#">evi_96229225254-103139804_.ST FOR RECONSIDER MOBILE SEARCH 85747739 Final OA_06</a>
<b>CONVERTED PDF FILE(S) (2 pages)</b>	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\857\477\85747739\xml8\RFR0002.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\857\477\85747739\xml8\RFR0003.JPG</a>
<b>SIGNATURE SECTION</b>	
<b>RESPONSE SIGNATURE</b>	/Tara Chand/
<b>SIGNATORY'S NAME</b>	Tara Chand
<b>SIGNATORY'S POSITION</b>	President
<b>SIGNATORY'S PHONE NUMBER</b>	310 787 1400
<b>DATE SIGNED</b>	12/26/2013
<b>AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY</b>	YES
<b>CONCURRENT APPEAL NOTICE FILED</b>	NO
<b>FILING INFORMATION SECTION</b>	
<b>SUBMIT DATE</b>	Thu Dec 26 10:35:15 EST 2013

**TEAS STAMP**

USPTO/RFR-96.229.225.254-  
20131226103515703692-8574  
7739-5001dbaf7deddf526128  
4cdc32c5d4ca1a01d2cb63728  
8d0221a5973f0154673-N/A-N  
/A-20131226103139804583

PTO Form 1930 (Rev 9/2007)  
OMB No. 0651-0050 (Exp. 05/31/2014)

**Request for Reconsideration after Final Action  
To the Commissioner for Trademarks:**

Application serial no. **85747739** has been amended as follows:

**EVIDENCE**

**Original PDF file:**

[evi\\_96229225254-103139804 . ST FOR RECONSIDER MOBILE SEARCH 85747739 Final OA 06 29 2013.pdf](#)

**Converted PDF file(s)** (2 pages)

[Evidence-1](#)

[Evidence-2](#)

**SIGNATURE(S)**

**Request for Reconsideration Signature**

Signature: /Tara Chand/ Date: 12/26/2013

Signatory's Name: Tara Chand

Signatory's Position: President

Signatory's Phone Number: 310 787 1400

The signatory has confirmed that he/she is not represented by either an authorized attorney or Canadian attorney/agent, and that he/she is either (1) the applicant or (2) a person(s) with legal authority to bind the applicant; and if an authorized U.S. attorney or Canadian attorney/agent previously represented him/her in this matter, either he/she has filed a signed revocation of power of attorney with the USPTO or the USPTO has granted the request of his/her prior representative to withdraw.

The applicant is not filing a Notice of Appeal in conjunction with this Request for Reconsideration.

Serial Number: 85747739

Internet Transmission Date: Thu Dec 26 10:35:15 EST 2013

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/RFR-96.229.225.254-201312261035157  
03692-85747739-5001dbaf7deddf5261284cdc3

2c5d4ca1a01d2cb637288d0221a5973f0154673-  
N/A-N/A-20131226103139804583

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Serial Number:** 85747739

**Applicant:** Internet Promise Group ® LLC ([chand@Internetpromise.com](mailto:chand@Internetpromise.com))

**Mark:** MOBILE SEARCH

**Attorney Docket:** N/A

**Correspondent Address:** Tara Chand

Internet Promise Group® LLC

2390 Crenshaw Blvd. Ste 239, Torrance, CA 90501-3300

**Office Action Date:** 06/29/2013

**Trademark Examining Attorney:**

Ronald E. Aikens, Law Office 112  
(571) 272-9268  
Ron-aikens@uspto.gov

---

**REQUEST TO RECONSIDER AND**  
**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 06/29/2013**

The Commissioner for Trademarks

P. O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

The response is timely filed as being filed within six months of the Final OA letter date of 06/29/2013 that is on or before 12/29/2013. Applicant files the following response to each of the issues in the final office action letter dated 06/29/2013.

In the Final rejection OA dated 06/29/2013, Examiner has stated as a basis of rejection:

**The mark is “Merely Descriptive” under Section 2(e) (1)**

The Applicant further amends the identification of the goods in support of that reconsideration and amended identification of the goods is believed is more accurate identification of the goods.

**The second amended proposed identification of goods**

Applicant second time amends the identification of the goods as follows:

Computer software application for use in computing and communication devices that provides a hybrid interface by a user using a combination of both or either touch or voice commands for interacting with the functions of the device.

**Supporting Arguments:**

Applicant believes this second amended description of goods, as above, defines the goods in question as the computer software application is focused on and directed to facilitating more versatile man-machine interface when interacting with such devices.

With due respect, with the amended identification of the goods, the mark cannot be construed as “merely descriptive as the mark does not convey an ingredient, quality, characteristic, function, feature, purpose, or use of an applicant’s goods and/or services and therefore is not merely descriptive.

Signed/Date: 12/26/2013

//Tara Chand//

President

Internet Promise Group® LLC

2390 Crenshaw Blvd. Ste 239, Torrance, CA 90501-3300,

310 787 1400