

From: McDowell, Matthew

Sent: 8/1/2014 6:05:51 PM

To: TTAB EFiling

CC:

Subject: U.S. TRADEMARK APPLICATION NO. 85644587 - PLASTI-FAB - 821-87850-01 - Request for
Reconsideration Denied - Return to TTAB

Attachment Information:

Count: 1

Files: 85644587.doc

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)
OFFICE ACTION (OFFICIAL LETTER) ABOUT APPLICANT'S TRADEMARK APPLICATION**

U.S. APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 85644587

MARK: PLASTI-FAB



CORRESPONDENT ADDRESS:

DAVID P PETERSEN

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP

121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600

PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988

GENERAL TRADEMARK INFORMATION:

<http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/index.jsp>

APPLICANT: Plasti-Fab, Inc.

CORRESPONDENT'S REFERENCE/DOCKET NO:

821-87850-01

CORRESPONDENT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

ptotmdocket@klarquist.com

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION DENIED

ISSUE/MAILING DATE: 8/1/2014

The trademark examining attorney has carefully reviewed applicant's request for reconsideration and is denying the request for the reasons stated below. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §§715.03(a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), 715.04(a). The refusal made final in the Office action dated December 11, 2013 is maintained and continues to be final. *See* TMEP §§715.03(a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), 715.04(a). Please note the applicant's request to divide has been acted upon, however, the issue raised in the last office action is not affected thereby with regards to the goods which remain in this application.

In the present case, applicant's request has not resolved all the outstanding issue(s), nor does it raise a new issue or provide any new or compelling evidence with regard to the outstanding issue in the final Office action. In addition, applicant's analysis and arguments are not persuasive nor do they shed new light on the issues. Accordingly, the request is denied.

The filing of a request for reconsideration does not extend the time for filing a proper response to a final Office action or an appeal with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (Board), which runs from the date the final Office action was issued/mailed. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §715.03, (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), (c).

If time remains in the six-month response period to the final Office action, applicant has the remainder of the response period to comply with and/or overcome any outstanding final requirement(s) and/or refusal(s) and/or to file an appeal with the Board. TMEP §715.03(a)(2)(B), (c). However, if applicant has already filed a timely notice of appeal with the Board, the Board will be notified to resume the appeal. *See* TMEP §715.04(a).

/Matthew J. McDowell/

Trademark Examining Attorney

Law Office 101

(571) 272-8263

matthew.mcdowell@uspto.gov