

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA548426**

Filing date: **07/15/2013**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	85179243
Applicant	Nieves & Nieves LLC
Applied for Mark	PRINCESS KATE
Correspondence Address	RICHARD MARK BLANK 19 LEDGEWOOD COMMONS MILLWOOD, NY 10546-1026 UNITED STATES rmb@blanklegal.com
Submission	Reply Brief
Attachments	Princess Kate Appeal.pdf(4663676 bytes)
Filer's Name	Richard M. Blank, Attorney of record
Filer's e-mail	rmb@blanklegal.com
Signature	/RB/
Date	07/15/2013

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Appeal of Final Refusal

Trademark Application

Proposed mark:

PRINCESS KATE

Serial No. 85179243

Proceeding No. 85179243

Filed: November 17, 2010

Applicant submits the following Appeal to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board in response to the June 8, 2012 Final Office Action from Examining Attorney Suzanne Blane of Law Office 114.

Refusal – Section 2(a): False Connection

The Examining Attorney has refused registration under Section 2(a) of the Lanham Act, stating that the applied-for mark consists of or includes matter which may falsely suggest a connection with Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge. Applicant respectfully requests that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board withdraw the refusal because Applicant's mark does not satisfy the test to determine a false association.

As the Office Action indicated, for a showing of false connection under Trademark Act Section 2(a), **all factors of the current four-part test to determine the existence of a false connection must be satisfied.** *In re Peter S. Herrick, P.A.*, 91 USPQ2d 1505, 1507 (TTAB 2009); *In re MC MC S.r.l.*, 88 USPQ2d 1378, 1379 (TTAB 2008); TMEP §1203.03(e); *see also Univ. of Notre Dame du Lac v. J.C. Gourmet Food Imps. Co.*, 703 F.2d 1372, 1375-77, 217 USPQ 505,508-10 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (providing foundational principles for the current four-part test determine the existence of a false connection). Here, there is no evidence to support the assertion that Applicant's mark is creating a false connection with Catherine, the Duchess of Cambridge, and as the mark does not satisfy the test.

i. The mark sought to be registered is the same as, or a close approximation of, the name or identity previously **used** by another person or institution.

The office action draws a comparison between the public perception of a connection between the PRINCESS KATE mark and Kate Middleton, Duchess of Cambridge, with the public perception of a connection between Jimmy Buffett and "Margaritaville," a song title with which he is associated. The Examiner argues that if goods and services using the name Margaritaville can be associated with Jimmy Buffett, it is even more likely that goods and services under the name PRINCESS KATE would be

associated with Middleton. However, this argument relates to the second prong of the four prong test, not the first. Hence, the first prong has yet to be proven. **All four prongs must be met to satisfy a false connection under section 2(a) of the Trademark Act.**

When analyzing the first prong, whether or not public perception ties a product to a specific person is irrelevant. Jimmy Buffett did not satisfy this prong because of the public perception of a connection between himself and Margaritaville. He satisfied this prong because he had attempted to commercially license the mark in the past. The court concluded that there was “evidence of licensing agreements held by Jimmy Buffett for the name "J.B.'s MARGARITAVILLE" for a restaurant, and for the sale of clothing, and various advertisements and depictions of the clothing bearing the term "MARGARITAVILLE"." *Buffett v. Chi-Chi's, Inc.*, 226 USPQ 428 (TTAB 1985)

There is no factual evidence that Kate Middleton had ever “used” PRINCESS KATE or any similar mark in a commercial context, and the Office Action admits that Kate Middleton’s official title is “Duchess of Cambridge”. Moreover, whether or not the court in Buffett would have come to the same conclusion without the direct evidence of previous commercial licensing would be pure speculation. Although the court acknowledged the relationship between a trademark and public perception, it did not say that a trademark application can fail section 2(a) based on public perception alone. That would defeat the purpose of the first prong of the test, which requires a previous use of a mark. Again, PRINCESS KATE is not a name used by Kate Middleton.

As Middleton has never used PRINCESS KATE, in order to satisfy the first prong of the test, the mark would need to be a “close approximation” of a name or identity used by her. It is not. Unlike a disparagement motion, which requires the mark be "reasonably understood as referring to" the identity of the opposing party, a “close approximation” test “is a more stringent one, requiring a greater degree of similarity between the two designations.” *Boston Red Sox Baseball Club Ltd. P'ship v. Sherman*, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1581, 1593 (TTAB 2008). In other words, a standard higher than whether or not a reasonable person would connect PRINCESS KATE to Kate Middleton must be met. Although PRINCESS KATE may be reasonably understood as referring to Kate Middleton, Duchess of Cambridge by some persons, it is not a close approximation of her name because Kate Middleton is not a princess. Furthermore, Kate Middleton has also publicly expressed to the media on multiple occasions that she will not be referred to as “Princess Kate” because she is simply not a princess and her proper title is “Duchess of Cambridge.”

When analyzing whether or not a trademark is the same or a close approximation, courts also look into the meaning of the words within the mark. Here, the court did not consider whether the public would perceive MOHAWK to be connected to the St. Regis Band. *In re White*, 80 U.S.P.Q.2d 1654, 1658-59, (TTAB 2006). Instead, they used a dictionary to prove that MOHAWK is historically associated with the Mohawk tribe. The meaning of Princess is not the same as the meaning of Duchess. Moreover, the other definitions of princess are not exclusive enough to point directly to Middleton, nor do they connote a close approximation of her identity.

Even if the dictionary definition of Princess is sufficient to link Middleton to PRINCESS KATE, *Mohawk* is still distinguishable from this action because MOHAWK is a unique part of the name of the designation for the federally recognized St. Regis Band of Mohawk Indians of New York. “Princess” and “Kate” are too common to be a close approximation of Kate Middleton, especially in the way of which the applicant plans to use the mark. The use of the term “princess” will connote to consumers that the Applicant’s goods are select and of a high quality. The applied-for mark is

merely fanciful due to the fact that it is not a “coined” term in the United States, as this country has no royal family. In addition, the mark PRINCESS KATE is arbitrary in that it is used in connection with products unrelated to its meaning and not associated with the Duchess of Cambridge. Here, the applied-for mark is used in connection with luxury items and home goods, items not specifically or exclusively associated with the term “Princess Kate”. The name “Princess Kate” does not itself describe goods. As a result, this phrase is not a descriptive phrase, but a concocted one, deserving of registration.

Furthermore, all factors of the current four-part test to determine the existence of a false connection must be satisfied. PRINCESS KATE is not the same as, or a close approximation of, the name or identity previously used by Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge ever herself. Even if the general public erroneously associates PRINCESS KATE with Middleton, the first prong is still not met.

ii. The mark would be recognized as such, in that it points uniquely and **unmistakably** to that person or institution.

As stated above, the Applicant’s mark, PRINCESS KATE, is not the same or a close approximation of the name of a person or institution. As such, the Applicant’s mark does not point uniquely and unmistakably to Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge.

The Office Action cites several articles from both Google searches and the Nexus database as evidence that Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge is well-known throughout the world as “PRINCESS KATE”. However, recent evidence and searches state the contrary. As illustrated through Exhibit 1, a recent July 2, 2013 search for “Princess Kate” through the Google search engine yielded results for “Kate Middleton, Duchess of Cambridge.” With the exception of one publication which erroneously referred to Kate Middleton as “Princess Kate,” every time Kate Middleton’s name appeared it was associated with the title “Duchess of Cambridge.” This would lead even the most unsophisticated internet user to conclude that Kate Middleton is not referred to as “Princess Kate,” but the “Duchess of Cambridge.”

The office action’s claim that Kate Middleton’s public perception is that of a princess is dubious. As stated previously, Kate Middleton is not a princess and, furthermore, the public generates its perceptions through the influence of the media. The media, inclusive of but not limited to online publications, print magazines and television broadcasts, consistently refers to Kate Middleton as the “Duchess of Cambridge,” not “Princess Kate,” thereby enforcing the public’s association of Middleton as a Duchess and not a Princess. For example, www.celebitchy.com, a website dedicated to celebrity news, fashion and gossip, featured a minimum of eight articles during the month of June from several media publications distributed both in the United States and United Kingdom about Kate Middleton in which she was repeatedly and consistently referred to as the “Duchess of Cambridge.” (See Exhibit 2). Not once was a reference made to Kate Middleton as a “Princess.” It should also be considered that the target audience for these publications is the same target consumer of PRINCESS KATE products. It is highly unlikely that one of these consumers would associate the PRINCESS KATE brand with Kate Middleton who is most commonly and properly known as the “Duchess of Cambridge.”

The Office Action infers that the American media acknowledges that Kate Middleton is commonly referred to as PRINCESS KATE. As stated above, Applicant has presented evidence that clearly demonstrates otherwise. The Office Action cites an article from an ABC News broadcast, claiming that PRINCESS KATE is Middleton's "handle and she wears it well." While this is one person's opinion who clearly misspoke when referring to Middleton as a "Princess," ABC News also recently aired a segment explaining that Middleton must curtsy to "blood princesses" when not in the company of Prince William. These actual princesses are "the Princess Royal, Princess Alexandra, and the daughters of the Duke of York, Princesses Beatrice and Eugenie." Here, ABC re-enforces the notion that Middleton is not a princess and should not be perceived as such.

Along the same vein, the popular ABC network morning television program "Good Morning America," which is broadcast throughout the United States, aired a piece, which it also featured online through its website, on June 24, 2013 about the "Duchess of Cambridge" preparing for the arrival of her child (See Exhibit 3). Once again, Kate Middleton is referred to as the "Duchess of Cambridge" in a national news program reaching millions of people. Consequently, this results in the public's perception of Middleton as a Duchess because not only is it her proper name, but it is also the title given to her in the overwhelming amount of media coverage she receives.

iii. The person or institution identified in the mark is not connected with the goods sold or services performed by applicant under the mark.

Applicant does not dispute that Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge, is not connected with the goods sold by Applicant under the mark. Applicant does, however, maintain that the mark is not a reference to nor does it have any connection with such person.

iv. The fame or reputation of the named person or institution is of such a nature that a connection with such person or institution would be presumed when applicant's mark is used on its goods and/or services.

Applicant does not dispute that Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge, is a well-known figure, stemming from her well-publicized relationship with Prince William and her subsequent wedding. However, there is no evidence to support the assertion that a connection with Catherine would be presumed when Applicant's mark is on the goods. The Office Action suggested that due to the media coverage of Catherine and William's lives and the repeated comments about her style and wardrobe, use of Applicant's mark in connection with makeup, luxury and home goods, and the like would create an association with Catherine. Applicant respectfully submits that the evidence submitted in support of this assertion is immaterial and that more recent evidence, explicitly stated above and attached as Exhibits, suggests otherwise. Catherine is known as a Duchess and addressed as such throughout all the media attention directed toward and about her. Furthermore, as the Office Action points out, as the daily happenings of Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge, receive much focus from the media, it would follow that should the Duchess of Cambridge launch a cosmetics, clothing, or luxury home goods product line, it would be highly publicized. As this has not happened and there is no discussion of it happening, it is unlikely that a consumer would associate Applicant's mark with Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge.

In addition, while the Duchess of Cambridge is well-known, there is no evidence of a presumptive connection between Catherine and the specific goods upon which the Applicant's mark will be used. Simply because Catherine is believed to have style and good taste does not mean that she is publicly perceived to be involved in the industry at all. While this may occur in the future, it was not the case upon filing of Applicant's application.

*If applicant's goods and/or services are of a type that the **named person or institution sells or uses**, and the named party is sufficiently famous, then it may be inferred that purchasers of the goods and/or services would be misled into making a false connection of sponsorship, approval, support or the like with the named party. In re Cotter & Co., 228 USPQ 202 (TTAB 1985); In re Nat'l Intelligence Acad., 190 USPQ 570 (TTAB 1976).*

In *Hornby v. TJX Companies, Inc.*, 87 USPQ2d 1411 (TTAB 2008), the Board found a definite connection between Twiggy's career as a model and clothing line designer and the goods containing the Applicant's mark. The Board determined that as a result of her long and successful modeling career as well as her other promotional activities, Twiggy had increased her celebrity to the point that purchasers of her children's clothing line would assume an association with her. Here, there is no obvious connection between the Duchess of Cambridge and the goods listed in the application for registration. The Duchess of Cambridge does not have her own clothing line, nor does she promote or advertise for any such product. Again, while a clothing line or some other association with fashion may be forthcoming for the Duchess of Cambridge, she is not as of yet involved in the industry. She merely wears clothing and makeup. Therefore, there is no evidence that consumers will presume a connection when Applicant's mark is affixed to the goods.

The applicant does not dispute that Kate Middleton has attended fashion shows, but nowhere has examiner shown or proven that Catherine has ever endorsed any particular style or brand, nor claim that she is connected to the lifestyle branding business in any way. Examiner simply tries to show that a third party recognizing something Catherine has on herself places her in the fashion business. The examiner simply has not presented any proof that Catherine is even perceived as endorsing any goods. Although Kate Middleton's clothing choices are critiqued, this does not necessarily mean that the public expects her to endorse the kind of clothing she wears. The examiner is making the argument that everything that Catherine wears or uses (all goods) is perceived to be directly connected and affiliated with her and she is perceived to be the source. However, the examiner has not shown any evidence of this. Even if Catherine has in the past or in the future endorsed or signed her name to any type of goods or services, including fashion goods, it would have no association or relation to PRINCESS KATE which is not her name, or one with which she wishes to be associated.

It is true that a false connection can be inferred if an applicant uses a mark in a way that misleads the public into thinking the goods and/or services "are of a type that the named person or institution sells or uses," But, as stated above, Kate Middleton does not or has ever used the name PRINCESS KATE, nor has she ever sold anything under that name, and as stated above, she merely wears clothing and make-up.

The applicant's use of the mark will not cause the public to believe there is a connection between the mark and Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge. Spike Lee applied for a preliminary injunction to

stop Viacom from changing the name of TNN to Spike TV, claiming that a channel named “Spike” TV would cause the public to perceive that there is a false connection. *Lee v. Viacom Inc.*, INDEX110080/2003MTNS, 2003 WL 22319071 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. June 12, 2003). The injunction was granted because Lee was able to provide an expert witness with “expertise in the evaluation of consumer perception of advertising marketing and other forms of mass communications” whose affidavit stated that “if an impartial survey were conducted in New York and similar urban center cities, a substantial portion of black men and women aged 18-45, and also a substantial, though smaller, proportion of young white professionals would infer from Viacom press releases that Spike Lee was associated with Spike TV. I believe that irreverent, hip, aggressive and brash are words which will associate Spike Lee with Spike TV.” Here, the court did not grant the injunction because Spike Lee is a famous entertainer whose name just happens to be the same as Spike TV. The court granted the injunction because Viacom’s press release allegedly creates connotations between Lee’s aggressive personality and the channel’s new format. *Id.*, at 4. Moreover, the holding focuses on the use of “Spike” in the film and television industry, in which Spike Lee is a prominent figure. Although Kate Middleton has been to fashion shows, she is not a part of the fashion industry. The applicant wishes to use PRINCESS KATE in a field unrelated to the Duchess of Cambridge using a definition of “princess” that connotes elegance and class, as stated above. It is also important to know that although an injunction was granted, the court was never able to decide if Spike Lee could receive a judgment because the case was settled; therefore, it is unknown if the amount of evidence Lee accrued was sufficient to prove a false connection.

Also, the applicant does not dispute the office action’s claim that Kate Middleton’s fame is not temporary. However, unlike Twiggy, she is not involved in the fashion industry, and there is no evidence that the public would perceive such a connection because she does not endorse any products. Even if Catherine has in the past or in the future endorsed or signed her name to any type of goods or services, including fashion goods, it would have no association or relation to PRINCESS KATE which is not her name, or one with which she wishes to be associated.

Conclusion

As demonstrated above, there is no evidence that the public will assume Applicant’s goods bearing the mark PRINCESS KATE have a connection with the Duchess of Cambridge, Catherine.

Refusal – Section 2(c): Particular Living Individual

The Examining Attorney refused registration on an additional ground that the Applicant’s mark consists of or includes a name, portrait, or signature identifying a particular living individual whose written consent to register the mark is not of record. Trademark Act Section 2(c), 15 U.S.C. §1052(c); TMEP §1206; see, e.g., *In re Hoefflin*, 97 U.S.P.Q.2d 1174 (TTAB 2010). Applicant respectfully requests that the Board withdraw the refusal because the Applicant’s mark does not identify a particular living individual.

For a Section 2(c) refusal, a name in a mark identifies a particular living individual if the person bearing the name will be associated with the mark as used on the goods or services because he or she (1) is “so well-known that the public would reasonably assume a connection” or (2) is “publicly connected with the business in which the mark is being used.” *In re Hoefflin*, 97 USPQ2d 1174,

1175-76 (TTAB 2010); see also *Krause v. Krause Publ'ns, Inc.*, 76 USPQ2d 1904, 1909-10 (TTAB 2005); *In re Sauer*, 27 USPQ2d 1073, 1075 (TTAB 1994). Based on the evidence presented above regarding false connection, the Duchess of Cambridge is a well-known figure, but consumers would not reasonably assume a connection between her and the goods at issue. In addition, as stated above, the Duchess of Cambridge was, at the time of the application's filing, not publicly connected with the business of clothing, makeup, home goods and the like. She is believed to have style and good taste as a result of her celebrity status, but does not have any association with the industry in which Applicant's mark is used. As a result, the mark PRINCESS KATE does not identify any particular living individual.

Cases cited by the Office Action with respect to this ground for refusal can be distinguished from the matter at hand. First, the Board in *In re Hoefflin*, 97 USPQ2d 1174, 1177-78 (TTAB 2010), held that registration of the marks OBAMA PAJAMA, OBAMA BAHAMA PAJAMAS, and BARACK'S JOCKS DRESS TO THE LEFT were barred because they created a direct association with President Obama. The name "Barack Obama" is not a common name, neither individually nor as one term. Here, the name "Kate" is significantly popular, listed as #93 on the babycenter list of 100 most popular baby names of 2011 and #209 on the Social Security Association's list of popular baby names in 2010. (See Exhibits 12-13) While the existence of others with the same name does not alter the requirement for a written consent, see *In re Steak & Ale Rests. of Am., Inc.*, 185 USPQ 447, 447-48 (TTAB 1975), Kate is generally a very popular name. In addition, "PRINCESS KATE" is not her given or adopted name, thus the use of the name "Kate" in the mark does not automatically draw an association to Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge. In fact, the point has been made – over and over – that Catherine is not a princess.

The Board in *In re Sauer*, 27 USPQ2d 1073, 1074-75 (TTAB 1993) held that the registration of a mark containing the term BO, used in connection with a sports ball, was barred because the ball was associated with a well-known athlete by the name Bo. The connection between Bo Jackson, the world-famous athlete, and the ball was specific and well-established. Bo Jackson was and is recognized for being a talented athlete on both the football field and the baseball diamond, while the ball itself was shaped like a football but contained baseball stitches. As a result, Bo Jackson's use of a ball throughout his career created a connection with the mark BO in referencing the ball. Here, Catherine is a Duchess of Cambridge. In her capacity as Duchess, clothing, fashion, makeup, etc. are not inherently connected with her position and thus will not create an association.

Finally, the Board in *In re Steak & Ale* cited above affirmed a refusal of the mark PRINCE CHARLES before the wording identifies a particular well-known living individual whose consent was not of record. In that case, Prince Charles is the actual name of the living person. Here, PRINCESS KATE is neither the official nor adopted name of Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge.

Further support for the registration of Applicant's mark lies, for example, in the recent publication of the mark PRINCESS ANNE, in connection with candy, as well as the registration of HRH PRINCESS ELIZABETH, in connection with perfume, skin cream, skin lotion and toners. (See Exhibits 11-12) For the mark, PRINCESS ANNE, while it is claimed that such mark does not identify any living individual, the British royal family does include "Anne, Princess Royal". Similarly, the British royal family, for many years, included "Princess Elizabeth" as one of its members, as Queen Elizabeth II was a princess prior to her coronation. In the same way,

“Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge” is a member of the British royal family. In both instances, the mark deemed to be associated with the particular, living individual is neither the exact nor the adopted name of that individual. As PRINCESS ANNE was approved for publication and HRH PRINCESS ELIZABETH was registered, so too should PRINCESS KATE be approved for publication.

Conclusion

As demonstrated, there is no evidence that the Applicant’s mark PRINCESS KATE identifies a particular living individual.

*Any Exhibits submitted in support of this response are hereby incorporated herein by this reference.

DATED: July 15, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard Blank/

Richard Mark Blank, Esquire

19 Ledgewood Commons

Millwood, New York 10546

Phone 917 830 4702 Fax 212 208-2612

Email: RMB@BlankLegal.com

EXHIBIT 1

(Results of Google Search conducted 7/2/13)

Showing results for [Princess Kate Middleton](#)

Search instead for [Princess Kate Middelton](#)

Search Results

1. [News for Princess Kate Middleton](#)



E! Online

1. [Kate Middleton & Prince William To Mirror Princess Diana's Parenting](#)

Hollywood Life - 19 hours ago

The legacy of **Princess** Diana will continue to live on! The Duke and Duchess of Cambridge are preparing to welcome their bundle of joy, and ...

2. [A duchess, and one day a queen, but a princess Kate will never be](#)

Montreal Gazette - 1 day ago

3. [Princess Kate Middleton Pregnant Baby Due Date Will Not Be Attended by the Queen, Boy or Girl](#)

Classicalite - 3 days ago

2. [Princess Mary's Style More Coveted Than Kate Middleton's, Survey ...](#)

www.huffingtonpost.com/.../princess-mary-style-kate-middleton_n_347...

- [Cached](#)
- Share

Jun 21, 2013 – Are you sitting down? **Kate Middleton** is not the most stylish royal around.

3. [Kate Middleton Baby Bump Pictures: Pregnant Duchess Christens ...](#)

www.usmagazine.com/.../kate-middleton-wears-dalmatian-print-coat-chri...

- [Cached](#)
- Share

Jun 13, 2013 – Wearing an animal print dress, **Kate Middleton** made her final solo public appearance June 13 when she christened **Princess** Cruises' latest ...

4. [Pregnant Kate Middleton Wows in Dalmatian Dress at Royal ...](#)

www.eonline.com/.../pregnant-kate-middleton-wows-in-dalmati...

- o [Cached](#)
- o Share

by Rebecca Macatee - in 104 Google+ circles

Jun 13, 2013 – What's black and white and the chicest ever on a boat? **Kate Middleton**, in her last pre-baby solo ...

5. [Kate Middleton names Royal Princess cruise ship in final solo ...](#)

www.nydailynews.com/.../stop-kate-middleton-names-royal-princess-cru...

- o Share

Jun 13, 2013 – A glowing **Kate Middleton** on Thursday made what was billed as her final solo appearance before giving birth when she christened a gigantic ...

6. [Smashing Kate Middleton christens Royal Princess cruise ship ...](#)

www.latimes.com/.../la-et-mg-kate-middleton-cruise-ship-duche...

- o [Cached](#)
- o Share

by Nardine Saad - in 150 Google+ circles

Jun 13, 2013 – **Kate Middleton** , now the Duchess of Cambridge , is officially godmother to ... a cruise ship.

7. [Kate Middleton planning a natural birth in the same hospital as ...](#)

www.mirror.co.uk/.../kate-middleton-planning-natural-birth-19...

- o [Cached](#)
- o Share

by Victoria Murphy

Kate Middleton planning a natural birth in the same hospital as **Princess** Diana. 20 Jun 2013 08:39. The arrival will be announced on a formal notice to be ...

8. [Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia](#)

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catherine,_Duchess_of_Cambridge

- o [Cached](#)
- o Share

HRH The **Princess** Royal ... **Catherine** Elizabeth **Middleton** was born at Royal Berkshire Hospital in Reading on 9 January 1982 and christened at St Andrew's ...

9. [Princess Kate Middleton | Facebook](#)

<https://www.facebook.com/PrincessKateMiddletonandWill>

- o [Cached](#)
- o Share

Princess Kate Middleton. 9242 likes · 204 talking about this.

10. [Princess Diana and Kate Middleton | Photos - ABC News](#)

[abcnews.go.com](#) › [Entertainment](#)

- Share

Princess Diana is seen seven-months pregnant in April 1984. **Catherine**, Duchess of Cambridge is three-months pregnant in this Jan. 11, 2013 photo

EXHIBIT 2

celebitchy

escapism can be smart

http://www.celebitchy.com/category/kate_middleton/

**Jun 20
'13**

Duchess Kate planning for a natural birth, she is NOT 'too posh to push'



The **Daily Mail** has an EPIC story about what's going to happen when **Duchess Kate** goes into labor, and what happens when she gives birth and how the birth will be announced and what

Prince William will be doing the whole time, etc. It's exhaustive – you can read the full thing here:

The **Duchess of Cambridge** is planning to give birth naturally and has chosen the same private hospital where her husband was born to deliver the future baby king or queen, royal sources revealed today. The royal mother-to-be is definitely not 'too posh to push' and wants – unless nature intervenes – to opt for a natural birth rather than an elective caesarean section like many celebrity figures, **MailOnline** understands.

Kate, 31, who is expecting her first baby in mid-July, will give birth to the new third-in-line-to-the-throne at the private Lindo Wing of St Mary's Hospital in Paddington, west London, where Prince William was delivered in 1982. Significantly, our sources also strongly hinted that Kate's family – particularly her mother, Carole Middleton, and sister, Pippa – are likely to be present at the hospital during her labour. Remarkably, this means that the Middleton family may learn the sex of the new-born future king or queen before even the present Queen herself.

Sources have told **MailOnline** that Kate is particularly keen to have her family around her as anxious father-to-be William, 30, may be on duty in North Wales, where he is still working as a Search and Rescue pilot at RAF Valley on Anglesey, when the baby arrives. William is said to be determined to make it to the delivery suite, following in the footsteps of his own father who broke royal tradition to be with his wife, Diana, Princess of Wales, for the birth of both their children. As a result, plans are currently being formulated by palace aides to whisk him down to London by helicopter so he can be present at his son or daughter's birth.

'He very much plans to be at the birth but between now and then will also be on and off duty in North Wales, meaning he might have to get there rather swiftly,' said a source. 'It could be by train or car if he is up in Anglesey, but should a helicopter be available then that is also a strong possibility.'

Helicopters aside, the future king is not expecting any special treatment and is due to take just two weeks' statutory paternity leave – for which he will be paid £136.78 a week – before returning to his normal shift pattern.

His wife, however, will definitely not return with him to their home on Anglesey with the new baby. A palace spokesman said today that there was 'still some discussion' about where Kate and their new-born will be based as a result. But the **Daily Mail** has already revealed that she plans to move in with her parents at their £4.8million Berkshire mansion for around six weeks after William returns to work as builders are still finishing their new apartment at Kensington Palace.

It is understood that contingency plans have been put in place with other hospitals, such as the Royal Berkshire in Reading, in case the **Duchess** goes into labour when visiting her parents.

But a source told the Mail: 'As was demonstrated when the **Duchess** fell ill with a pregnancy-related sickness last year while staying with her parents in Berkshire, she can be whisked in a private car to central London within an hour. So it is very unlikely she will give birth anywhere else than St Mary's, quite frankly.'

Unusually, palace officials will make public the fact that the **Duchess** has gone into labour within minutes of her being admitted to hospital and being seen by a doctor. While palace aides are keen to retain Kate's 'dignity' as a woman in labour, they acknowledge the fact that social media such as Twitter will make it almost impossible... although both Buckingham Palace and Clarence House have their own Twitter accounts on which details of royal events are frequently now broken, it will – blessedly, some might say – most definitely not be the preferred medium to announce the new royal baby. Instead a formal notice on a piece of creamy A4 size Buckingham Palace-headed paper, signed by the medical staff who have assisted the **Duchess**, will be brought out of the Lindo's front entrance by a press officer.

It will then be handed to a waiting driver and driven through the streets of London – escorted by police outriders – to the Privy Purse Door at the front of Buckingham Palace. There it will then be placed on an easel, last used to announce Prince William's birth, by the main gates in the palace forecourt. This will signify to an eager public that a new royal baby has been born. However if the baby is born between 10.30pm and 8am, the news will be sent out via press release with the easel being erected later that morning, at around 9am.

MailOnline understands that William himself is likely to phone the Queen before anyone else, even his own father, depending on what time of day the baby is born.

'Clearly if they are together the Middleton family will be informed pretty quickly...efforts will be made to contact the Queen soon after and members of the royal family will be told as soon as is practically possible. Whether they are woken depends on what time of day it was,' the source added.

It is also hoped that William will make a short statement on the steps of the hospital after the good news has been dispersed – as will the Middletons, although the mechanics of this have yet to be discussed.

'While it is a deeply personal and private event, the **Duke and Duchess of Cambridge** realise this is a time of national celebration and that there will be vast interest in the baby. They realise many people will want to share in their happiness,' a spokesman said.

[\[From The Mail\]](#)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2344376/Royal-baby-gender-Kate-Middleton-Prince-William-DONT-know-sex-child.html#ixzz2Wh7D719b>

**Jun 18
'13**

[Duchess Kate spent Father's Day in Berkshire, taking her dad out to eat: adorable?](#)



I didn't realize that the UK celebrates Father's Day the same day that Americans celebrate it. The UK Mother's Day is different, isn't it? Was the Father's Day thing just a fluke this year? Anyway, I was wondering yesterday why Prince William and Harry [were playing polo](#) while

Duchess Kate was nowhere to be seen on Sunday. As it turns out, she was celebrating Father's Day with her dad Michael. Which is sweet:

Before her husband becomes a father, Kate Middleton spent Father's Day with her dad, Michael Middleton, a source tells Us Weekly. The pregnant **Duchess of Cambridge**, 31, who is expecting her first child with Prince William on July 11, enjoyed a little quality time with her father in her hometown of Bucklebury, Berkshire on Sunday, June 16.

An insider tells Us that the father-daughter duo went out to lunch together "at a local restaurant." It's unclear if Middleton's siblings, Pippa, 29, and James, 26, or mother Carole joined them on the low-key holiday outing. William, meanwhile, spent Father's Day competing against brother Prince Harry at the Tianjin Goldin Metropolitan Polo Club Charity Cup in Tetbury, England.

On June 15, Middleton made her last royal appearance before her baby arrives at the Trooping the Colour ceremony in London. Ahead of her 26 weeks of maternity leave, the royal mom-to-be was glowing in a pale pink Alexander McQueen coat.

Middleton and William, 30, are now focused on preparing for the arrival of their little one.

"They're both so excited and have so many questions," a Middleton family source told Us of the royal couple. "They want to know what the baby will look like and who it will take after."

While William wraps up his Royal Air Force duties in Anglesey, Wales, Middleton is splitting her time between their Welsh home and London's Nottingham Cottage, where the baby will live before the September move to Apartment 1A of London's Kensington Palace. "Catherine wants to be near William in the final weeks," a source tells Us. "It's important to her."

[\[From Us Weekly\]](#)

<http://www.usmagazine.com/celebrity-moms/news/kate-middleton-spent-fathers-day-with-dad-michael-ahead-of-babys-birth-2013176#ixzz2WVIGneWM>

Jun 16
'13

[Duchess Kate in pale pink McQueen for her last pregnant appearance: lovely?](#)



Here are some nice new photos of the last (officially now) public appearance for **Duchess Kate** before she gives birth. Last Thursday, Kate [did her last solo appearance](#) for a cruise liner christening (she's the "godmother" of the ship), and Saturday's activities are widely considered to be Kate last "for real now" appearance before giving birth next month. Kate, William and Harry (and everyone else) attended the Trooping the Colours parade for the Queen's birthday. Everybody got to ride in carriages and all of the men (and HRH The Princess Royal, Princess Anne) got to wear their military finery.

Kate rode in the carriage with Duchess Camilla and Prince Harry. I'm including a photo where Harry is looking at Kate like she's the love of his life, so... I don't know. I think Harry always looks at every woman like that. He falls in love fifty times a day. Prince William wasn't in the carriage because he was "on duty" as a colonel of the Irish Guards (thus his red uniform), and he rode on horseback with his dad behind the Queen's glass carriage. After the carriage ride, Kate joined the royal family on the balcony to watch the jets put on a show.

Kate's coat is Alexander McQueen, surprisingly enough. I'm guessing it's Sarah Burton for McQueen because... yeah. It just looks like Sarah Burton. The Jane Corbett hat is a repeat, a recognize it from an appearance last year where Kate wore another pink coatdress. The pink is... okay, I guess. I like Kate in bolder, vivid colors, but she's got a real love for pastels. This is too saccharine for my taste, and it makes me wonder why she's all "PINK!" for her last appearance. Is she expecting a girl after all? *please don't name her Diana, please don't name her Diana*



<http://www.celebitchy.com/category/fashion/>

Jun 13
'13

Kim Kardashian steps out in flats, she's due on July 11th (just like Duchess Kate)



I'm still waiting for the new photos of **Duchess Kate** christening a boat today in England, so you'll just have to make do with new photos of America's Duchess, Kim Kardashian. HA. Hilariously (because I can't stop comparing them), Kim and **Duchess Kate** made the cover of Us Weekly because they're allegedly due on the same day. **Duchess** versus reality star! For what it's worth, I think Kim is going to give birth first, and Kate will probably give birth later than we're

expecting. Our perspective on Kate's pregnancy timeline is all skewed because she had to announce so early. Anyway, what else do Kate and Kim have in common?

Kate Middleton, 31, was spotted making final preparations for the royal baby's nursery on June 4 in the high-end fabric store Bernard Thorp & Co. in London with just a few weeks to go until her July due date. But a mom-to-be of American royalty — Kim Kardashian, 32 — is also due on the same day, according to a new report, and is also planning for her house and nursery renovations much like Kate is!

The **Duchess of Cambridge** is due on July 11 with her first child with hubby Prince William, 30, on July 11, according to Us Weekly. Ironically, the Keeping Up with the Kardashians star is also due on July 11 with her first baby!

Not only are both reportedly due on the same day — both will have their baby news announced on Twitter! Talk about a modern monarchy.

Even though their pregnancy styles are totally different — Kim has opted for sexier tight looks, while Kate has been wearing loose and flowy outfits — they are both in similar circumstances when it comes to their not-so-humble abodes.

Kate is waiting for apartment 1A at Kensington Palace to be completed while it undergoes renovations for the royal couple and their little heir to the throne. In the meantime, she is splitting her time between their Welsh home and London's Nottingham Cottage, according to Us Weekly.

Kim, on the other hand, is still waiting for the \$11 million mansion she is building with Kanye to be completed. The dream home will be complete with a tricked-out nursery, but in the meantime, Kim is living in the guest house on her mom Kris Jenner's property.

[\[From Hollywood Life\]](#)

<http://hollywoodlife.com/2013/06/12/kate-middleton-kim-kardashian-due-date-same-day-baby/>

Jun 13
'13

Duchess Kate wears a 'dalmatian print' Hobbes coat to boat christening: fabulous?



Huzzah! Finally, we got these photos. In Southampton, England today, **Duchess Kate** made one of her last (if not THE last) public appearances before giving birth. This was a long-scheduled appearance to christen the Royal Princess cruise ship. Can I just say? This is probably my favorite maternity look on Kate so far. The coat is a “Dalmatian print” Hobbes coat which retails for about \$265. It’s really great, and it surprises me how good she looks in a bold, monochromatic print. I prefer this kind of style to her (at times) too-fussy, too-mumsy style with all of the lace and florals and pale colors.

Following in the footsteps of Queen Elizabeth II and her late mother-in-law Princess Diana, Kate Middleton traveled to Southampton, England, on Thursday, June 13, to name Princess Cruises' latest ship, the Royal Princess. The pregnant 31-year-old dressed her baby bump in a \$265 dalmatian print coat by Hobbs and wore a black fascinator for the occasion. Looking radiant as ever, the **Duchess of Cambridge** walked the blue carpet as the Band of Her Majesty's Royal Marines Portsmouth and The Pipe Band of 1st Battalion Irish Guards gave her a musical salute.

The event marked Middleton's final solo engagement before her maternity leave begins; she is due to give birth on July 11, sources reveal in the June 24 issue of *Us Weekly*.

Upon her arrival, Middleton shook hands with officials and representatives from the cruise line. After a performance from "Pocketful of Sunshine" singer Natasha Bedingfield, Middleton met two young children. Middleton invited the Rowbotham family, from Milton near Cambridge, to join her for the celebrations; they received care and support from EACH, one of the many charities she supports.

Alie Rowbotham attended the naming ceremony along with her husband, Mick, and their children Izzie, 15, and Charlie, 8. Her son George was diagnosed with a brain tumor in July 2003; she spoke to reporters about the organization and Middleton's involvement before Thursday's ceremony. "Izzie and Charlie will be giving the scissors to HRH The **Duchess of Cambridge** to cut the ribbon," she said. "We're all very excited about meeting The **Duchess of Cambridge** and very proud and honored to be representing EACH. We're very passionate about the work EACH does with children and their families, having experienced the difference that it makes firsthand."

She added, "The hospice really is a place for living with so much to offer. George especially loved the sessions in the swimming pool and sensory room. It was a place where he could live, rather than being ill all the time. He had lost his sparkle when he was in hospital but at the hospice he shone again and those memories we will remember forever."

Middleton later walked to a podium where she smashed a bottle of Moët et Chandon champagne against the hull of the Royal Princess. She then looked on as the Band of Her Majesty's Royal Marines Portsmouth and The Pipe Band of 1st Battalion Irish Guards staged a colorful parade to round off the christening ceremony. Afterwards, Middleton took a tour of the boat.

The vast cruise ship features a games room, a casino, a spa, a 28-foot glass-bottomed viewing gallery, 10 restaurants and 1,780 luxury staterooms. Despite the ship's regal name, the vessel was not named after Middleton. Instead, the name was taken from the original Royal Princess ship which launched in 1984 and is no longer in service; Prince William's late mother christened that liner.

[\[From Us Weekly\]](#)

<http://www.usmagazine.com/celebrity-news/news/kate-middleton-wears-dalmatian-print-coat-christens-royal-princess-ship-2013136#ixzz2W6TIRgXp>

Jun 10
'13

Duchess Kate's dodgy uncle Gary Goldsmith won't shut up about Kate & the baby



Duchess Kate has a dodgy uncle. His name is Gary Goldsmith, and he's Carole Middleton's brother. Uncle Gary lives in "The Maison de Bang Bang" and he has a sordid history with hookers, blow, and something resembling "pimping" (although many claim he "*just throws good parties*"). It's not like Good Time Gary is the black sheep of the family either – Kate and Prince William used to visit the Maison de Bang Bang while they were dating, and Gary was invited to the royal wedding and all of that. I have a conspiracy theory involving Uncle Gary – I think he got most of his money from illicit means, and I think Gary's ill-gotten gains were the way that

the Middletons were able to afford their luxurious lifestyle. Uncle Gary pretty much funded Kate's "waity" lifestyle, at least in my conspiracy theory.

Anyway, since the wedding, Gary has slowly but surely becoming a more public figure. I'm not sure if it's part of the Middleton family's concerted PR campaign or if Uncle Gary simply won't shut up. All I know is that Gary is terribly gauche and whenever he opens his mouth, Kate and her family look like a pack of grifters who just made the biggest score of their lives. Here's Gary's new interview in The Sun:

OTHER relatives of Kate and Wills might be fretting over what to buy the royal baby – but not Uncle Gary. He knows exactly what to give his niece's firstborn, due next month.

Laughing, he reveals: "I'm going to get exactly what I get for all my mates' babies. As a die-hard Chelsea fan, I always get the baby Chelsea shares. Then, when it's a bit older, I get it a full tiny Chelsea strip. It's brilliant, it drives the parents mad. I love turning their kid into a true blue Chelsea fan. And Prince William is an Aston Villa fan. I thought it could be hilarious."

Gary Goldsmith — or "Uncle G" as the **Duchess of Cambridge**, her sister Pippa and brother James know him — isn't joking.

He insists: "I mean, what on earth can you get for a baby like theirs? That baby is going to have everything it could ever want. So Chelsea shares are perfect. I think William will find it funny. I would hope to be at the christening, but I'm sure I won't be a godfather!"

Gary, 48, is Carole Middleton's younger brother by ten years. He has always been close to his sister and her family.

He reveals: "I absolutely dote on Pip, James and Kate. I remember when Carole brought Kate home as a baby. She was a tiny little thing, really delicate. When Carole gave her to me I was terrified. I was only 16 and I was like, 'What do I do?' I've always been Uncle G to the kids. I love my title, the King of Fun — that's what I've been to them. I'm the one who's always played games, made them laugh."

"I was so proud at the wedding. I watched Kate walk up the aisle and I was remembering her as that tiny baby in my arms. There was 'little Kate' on her way to wed Prince William. It was quite surreal."

Despite his royal connections, multi-millionaire Gary is proud of his "humble" roots and that he is "new money". He built up a recruitment business which he sold for £275million in 2005. At one time he owned mansions, yachts and supercars, but he has given most of that up.

Reclining in his seat at his private members' club in central London, he explains: "I didn't want to be that guy driving round in a Ferrari and everyone thinking, 'You're too old to drive that, give it to your son'."

He and Carole started life in a council house in Hounslow, west London. Mum Dot and dad Ron instilled a strong work ethic in both their children.

Gary says: “My parents both had two jobs. Dad was a painter and decorator and also drove a van. My mum worked in a shop and did accounts for a firm. Mum was very aspirational, she wanted us to be successful in life. She was over the moon when Carole became an air hostess for British Airways. To mum, Kate’s relationship with William was like all her Christmases had come at once. We are from such humble stock and then here is her granddaughter dating Prince William. She was so proud. When I was stood in the Abbey I was thinking, ‘Oh God, Mum would have loved this’. Both my parents would have.”

In 2009, he invited some people he had met in Ibiza in 2009 back to his villa — the infamous Maison De Bang Bang. They turned out to be undercover reporters for the News of the World. Gary was filmed laying out a line of cocaine and boasting about his royal ties.

He says: “I was at the lowest point in my life — and paid the price. But it provided a massive wake-up call to get my life back in order.”

Sister Carole was one of the first people to call him after the story broke.

He says: “She was incredibly supportive and told me, ‘The whole family are really sorry for what has happened to you, especially Kate’. The family were brilliant and got me through the worst moment in my life. People wrongly speculated that I wasn’t going to be invited to the Royal Wedding. In fact, Kate and William’s wedding happened on my birthday, and by attending the ceremony at Westminster Abbey and then the reception at Buckingham Palace it proved to be the greatest present they could have ever given me. We have always been a very close family. I am so looking forward to the arrival of Kate’s first baby. We all are.”

[\[From The Sun\]](#)

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/sol/homepage/features/4960362/duchess-kate-royal-baby-birth-chelsea-strip.html#ixzz2VioT43Bt>

Jun 6
'13

Duchess Kate is the UK's 'Most Influential Beauty Icon', ahead of Kate Moss



Here are some additional photos of **Duchess Kate** (plus Harry and William) at the Queen's [60th anniversary coronation blessing/church service thing on Tuesday](#). I'm still not in love with this ensemble, but at least it was an appropriate length and she looked appropriate for the occasion.

At this point, that's how low the bar has been set.

One of the complaints lodged against Kate by her handful of critics is that Kate is merely about her appearance. All style and no substance, that she – moreso than other royals – doesn't really get involved with anything substantial, that her greatest passions are shopping and pampering herself. When people claim those critics are just jealous of Kate... well, maybe there's something there. I would love to have an endless amount of time and money to pamper myself on occasion. But not every day. Anyway, Kate is constantly getting praised for her hair, her skin,

her clothes, how well she takes care of herself, always style over substance. So this latest poll makes sense:

The **Duchess of Cambridge** has fought off competition from Kate Moss and Victoria Beckham to be crowned the UK's most influential beauty icon. Women are three times more likely to buy products favoured by the Duchess than by the Croydon supermodel, according to a survey.

The Duchess' fresh-faced make-up look and glossy locks proved most popular with 30 per cent of women surveyed, compared to 15 per cent who wanted products favoured by Moss, and 12 per cent who chose products picked by Beckham.

Other popular sources of inspiration included model-of-the-moment, Cara Delevingne, reality star Kim Kardashian and actress Jennifer Aniston. Angelina Jolie came seventh, while New York socialite Olivia Palermo ranked eighth in the poll (four per cent). Palermo was closely followed by fellow reality star Millie Mackintosh whose dewy skin is emulated by three per cent of British women.

Made in Chelsea's Millie's look proved to be more influential with UK buyers than that of Oscar winner Gwyneth Paltrow who brought up the rear in 10th place.

'Kate and Kate represent two sides of really modern women and between the two of them they cover all style and lifestyle tastes,' commented Newby Hands, editorial director at Feel Unique, the beauty e-tailer which conducted the research.

'Moss is more party and rock n' roll, while Kate Middleton is more of a perfect wife and role model. Despite their differences they are both aspirational and fascinating. What was interesting was it was very equal, almost head to head with Kate Middleton just tipping the balance on popularity.'

Popular products loved by the **Duchess of Cambridge** include Elizabeth Arden's Rose Illumination, £19.55, and Lancôme's Génifique Advanced Youth Activating Concentrate, £92.

'We have seen first-hand the "Kate Effect" on sales of beauty products,' says Siobhan McDermott, feelunique.com's general manager.

'Two years ago blonde shades were more than twice as popular as brunette tones. Immediately after the Royal Wedding we experienced a surge in brown shades and in particular, Kate's signature Natural Dark Brown colour. 'It's now our most popular shade and contributes to brown colours consistently outselling blondes by two to one.'

The rankings:

1. **The Duchess of Cambridge**, 30 per cent
2. Kate Moss, 15 per cent
3. Victoria Beckham, 12 per cent
4. Cara Delevingne, 11 per cent

5. Kim Kardashian, 10 per cent
6. Jennifer Aniston, eight per cent
7. Angelina Jolie, five per cent
8. Olivia Palermo, four per cent
9. Millie Mackintosh, three per cent
10. Gwyneth Paltrow, two per cent.

[\[From The Mail\]](#)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-2335116/Duchess-Cambridge-beauty-icon-British-women-likely-buy-beauty-products-worn-Kate-Middleton-Kate-Moss.html#ixzz2VLuZtZ4S>

Jun 4
'13

Duchess Kate in bespoke Jenny Packham in London: lovely or uninspired?



New photos of **Duchess Kate**! I would include photos of Queen Elizabeth II, considering today is the 60th anniversary of her coronation, but right now we only have photos of Kate and the York girls. For the 60th anniversary, the Queen and her family arrived in Westminster Abbey for a special service/prayers/etc. [You can see photos of the Queen here](#) – she looked beautiful, and she

had pale blue flowers in her hair (or attached to her hat). Prince Phillip was there too, even though he had to skip an event last night because he was feeling “unwell”.

As for Kate, she wore a “bespoke Jenny Packham dress and coat”. Bespoke means that it was made for her, tailored for her. I guess that means Kate still isn’t really wearing maternity clothes, she’s just getting designers to alter their non-maternity clothes for her. [The dress is described as](#) “*a delicate daisy lace dress with silver grey ribbon detail*” with a “*soft peach silk shantung*” jacket. To my eyes, this isn’t reading as peach though. It’s reading as pale pink. It might be a lighting issue. Kate wears a lot of light peaches and pinks to church, right? Kate’s hat is a repeat by Jane Taylor – she wore it last year at a Jubilee event. The earrings are reportedly from Prince Charles (a wedding gift). The shoes look like the same old tired LK Bennett nude heels she always wears.

Kate had a flurry of events right around her two-year wedding anniversary, almost as if she was trying to plump up her visibility and “royal duty score” right around the time when it’s widely believed Kate’s “two year grace period” would end. But since then – late April – Kate has been largely absent. I guess we’re supposed to believe that she’s just resting and decorating all of her new homes (Kensington Palace and Anmer Hall). But I should note that Kate’s “maternity leave” hasn’t officially started yet – the royal “sources” claimed Kate wouldn’t begin to take it easy until AFTER she christened a boat, which happens next week.

<http://www.celebitchy.com/category/fashion/>

EXHIBIT 3

<http://abcnews.go.com/GMA/video/kate-middleton-pregnant-royal-shops-babys-nursery-due-19472278>

Prince William and **Duchess Kate** prepare for birth of the royal baby.
03:26 | 06/24/2013

Transcript for Kate Middleton Shops for Royal Baby's Nursery

Okay, baby, oh, royal baby, here we go. We've been giving outside three mothers-to-be the royal treatment this morning. Facials, massages from the spa and some rave dancing from phil and will and I'm sure these moms have been shopping for their babies so it comes as kate -- so what's been on the royal trendsetter's shopping list?

Oh, my gosh. I'm going to guess it's not a visit from those two. Amy robach here with that.

What am I looking at? The royal couple says they don't know if they're having a boy or girl so tracking traditional color cues are out but there's been a few cues and clues as to how the new mom will outfit her little royal highness. ¶ while dad-to-be prince william spent the william attending a wedding with his brother harry his wife, an eight months pregnant kate was getting ready for the new heir to the throne due in july.

The stylish **duchess** made her last ol prebaby appearance last saturday and is believed to be in her final nesting stages. Many wondering what the littlest royal's nursery will look like. Kate's decorating style is very similar to her dressing style.

She likes very classic, very clean lines, very simple things. Very elegant. Reporter: Kate is reportedly preparing two nurseries, one at kensington palace and another at her parents' estate in the small english community of bucklebury where the **duchess** is expected to spend time with her mother carol following the baby's birth.

Items in the royal nursery will include a moses basket similar to this one that retails for \$500. Kate and her mother were recently seen loading one into a car. And kate's also been seen shopping at chic london boutiques for throw pillows, rugs and drapes in a herringbone brown.

While she's purchasing upstale items don't expect her, say royal watchers to go crazy in investing in ostentatious baby bling on the market like this swarovski crystal baby tub. We know she's haggled for antique things in markets. Reporter: One decidedly modern investment kate has made is in a buggy.

She reportedly impeach purchased this bugaboo in a jewel blue with stripes. It's considered a unisex design perfect for kate who opted not to find out the baby's gender in advance. Managers at giggle, the baby store to the stars, say they expect what they're now calling the kate stroller to sell out quickly.

The color she picks, the products for the nursery, they're all going to be hot ticket items. Reporter: Moms we spoke with agree. She's got fantastic taste and she's dressed so well throughout the pregnancy.

I think everybody will just be looking to see what the baby's going to get. I will for sure. Reporter: And that said you have to imagine that every baby gear manufacturer in the world is hoping the **duchess** dresses her newborn in their clothes or buys their toys for him or her to play with.

But first onesie setting will be -- you mentioned him or her. Odds have dropped and british book makers, charlotte is now like 10-1. Maybe they all know something that we do not.

We want to -- oh. Does have a shot. By the way, all moms out there send their congratulations to will and kate, sign our royal baby book.

EXHIBIT 4

http://www.royal.gov.uk/ThecurrentRoyalFamily/TheDuchessofCambridge/TheDuchessofCambridge.aspx

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the official website of The British Monarchy. The page title is "The official website of The British Monarchy". The URL in the address bar is "http://www.royal.gov.uk/ThecurrentRoyalFamily/TheDuchessofCambridge/TheDuchessofCambridge.aspx". The page features a navigation menu on the left with categories like "The current Royal Family", "The Duke of Edinburgh", "The Prince of Wales", "The Duchess of Cornwall", "The Duke of Cambridge", "The Duchess of Cambridge", "Work", "Interests", "Prince Harry", "The Duke of York", "The Earl of Wessex", "The Countess of Wessex", "The Princess Royal", "The Duke of Gloucester", "The Duchess of Gloucester", "The Duke of Kent", "The Duchess of Kent", "Princess Alexandra", "Prince and Princess Michael of Kent", "The Royal Family name", "Succession and precedence", "Greeting a member of the Royal Family", and "How to contact a member of the Royal Family". The main content area is titled "The Duchess of Cambridge" and includes a photograph of the Duchess. Below the photo, there is a paragraph of text: "Catherine Elizabeth Middleton, now known as The Duchess of Cambridge was born to Michael and Carole Middleton at the Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading, on 9th January 1982. The Duchess is the eldest of three children. Her Royal Highness was christened at the parish church of St. Andrew's Bradfield in Berkshire on 20th June 1982. In May 1984, at the age of two, The Duchess moved with her family to Amman in Jordan, where her father worked for two and a half years. Her Royal Highness attended a nursery school in Amman from the age of three. In September 1986, the Middletons returned to their home in West Berkshire, and The Duchess started at St. Andrew's School in Pangbourne, where she remained until July 1995. Her Royal Highness went on to Marlborough College in Wiltshire, where she studied Chemistry, Biology and Art at A-level. The Duchess also took part in sport on behalf of the school... playing tennis, hockey and netball and larly high jump. Her Royal Highness". To the right of the main text, there are sections for "Related Images", "Also in this section" (listing "The Royal Family name", "The Duke of Edinburgh", and "Succession and precedence"), and "Other areas of interest" (listing "Charities and Patronages", "The Royal Collection and other collections", "The Royal Residences", "Images and Broadcasts", "Queen and anniversary messages", "Her Majesty The Queen", "The Queen and the UK", "The Royal Household", "The Queen and the Commonwealth", and "Royal events and ceremonies"). The browser's taskbar at the bottom shows various application icons and the system clock indicating 7:01 PM on 3/19/2012.

EXHIBIT 5

http://www.montrealgazette.com/life/princess+Kate+will+never/4700990/story.html

The Gazette
montrealgazette.com

HOME NEWS OPINION BUSINESS SPORTS ARTS **LIFE** HEALTH TECHNOLOGY TRAVEL JOBS CARS HOMES CLASSIFIEDS

A duchess, and one day a queen, but a princess Kate will never be

POSTMEDIA NEWS APRIL 29, 2011

Recommend 4 Tweet 0 1

ShareThis

STORY PHOTOS (1)

POPULAR STORIES around MT
Click here for the top stories being read by people in your neighbourhood and across the country. [Learn more](#)

MORE ON THIS STORY

- Prince William and Kate married at fairy-tale wedding watched by billions
- Balcony kiss seals deal for William

urban LATEST UPDATES

Ato Zeppole: Beautiful bling Cabane Fever Montreal's Best

If You Drive Less Than 37 Miles Per Day, You Are Being Ripped Off By Not Using This One Ridiculously Easy Trick

The Government is forcing drivers to purchase auto insurance. Drivers who use this trick are able to get their insurance for about \$400 less per year. Insurance companies hate the trick because if it got out, it would cost them millions. Don't get ripped off by your auto insurance company, learn the trick.

Click Your Age:

Under 18	19-25	26-35	36-45
46-55	56-65	66-75	Over 75

MOST POPULAR NEWS

MOST READ E-MAILED COMMENTED

Quick facts about Montreal's St. Patrick's parade

7:14 PM 3/19/2012

EXHIBIT 6

http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/royal-wedding-watch/post/kate-middleton-princess-or-not/2011/04/29/AFT7nhCF_blog.html

The screenshot shows a Firefox browser window displaying a Washington Post blog post. The address bar shows the URL: www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/royal-wedding-watch/post/kate-middleton-princess-or-not/2011/04/29/AFT7nhCF_blog.html. The page features a navigation menu with categories like POLITICS, OPINIONS, LOCAL, SPORTS, National, World, Business, Investigations, Lifestyle, Entertainment, and Multimedia. The main header reads "The Washington Post Lifestyle". Below this, there are sub-sections for Advice, Carolyn Hax, Food, Home & Garden, Style, Travel, Weddings, Wellness, Magazine, and KidsPost. The current page is titled "In the News" and lists "Carolyn Hax", "Beer Madness", "Marilyn Monroe", "Dan Sileo", and "Duchess of Cambridge". A search bar is present with the text "Search The Washington Post".

The main content area features a video player with the title "Live: Royal Wedding" and a subtitle that reads "Sorry, Kate, not a princess yet. (Screen grab from youtube)". To the right of the video, the text states: "Queen Elizabeth II conferred among Prince William and Kate Middleton the new titles of William, Duke of Cambridge, Catherine, Her Royal Highness the Duchess of Cambridge Friday, and Middleton will also get the courtesy titles of the Countess of Strathearn and Baroness".

On the left side, there is a sidebar titled "ABOUT" with the following text: "About this blog They've had their fairy tale wedding. Prince William and Kate Middleton are now starting their happily ever after, and The Post's Royal Watch will be there to follow all of the appearances, outings and fashion trends that go with it. About this blogger".

At the top of the main content area, there is a section titled "Royal Watch" with the subtitle "The Post follows newlyweds Prince William and Kate Middleton". The post is dated "Posted at 07:50 AM ET, 04/29/2011" and is titled "Duchess of Cambridge: Is Kate a Princess or not?" by Elizabeth Flock.

On the right side, there is an advertisement titled "One Question Site Survey" with the text "IT TAKES ONLY 30 SECONDS TO ANSWER BELOW". The survey asks "How likely are you to sign up for a Citibank Checking account within the next 3 months?" and provides five radio button options: "Definitely will", "Probably will", "May or may not", "Probably will not", and "Definitely will not". A "VOTE AND SEE RESULTS" button is located below the options. The advertisement is powered by VIZI and is labeled "SAFE & ANONYMOUS".

The bottom of the browser window shows a Windows taskbar with various application icons and a system tray displaying the time "7:21 PM" and the date "3/19/2012".

EXHIBIT 7

http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/kate-middleton-will-not-be-183511

The screenshot shows a Firefox browser window with the URL www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/kate-middleton-will-not-be-183511. The page layout includes a top navigation bar with categories like MOVIES, TV, MUSIC, TECH, THE BUSINESS, STYLE & CULTURE, AWARDS, and VIDEO. Below this is a secondary navigation bar with links for NEWS, REVIEWS, TV RATINGS, LIVE FEED, BASTARD MACHINE, IDOL WORSHIP, EMMYS, and TV CLIPS. A row of featured articles is visible, including 'FILMART: THR FESTIVAL DAILY', 'SXSW 2012: NEWS FROM FEST', 'THR'S TOP 25 POWER STYLISTS', and 'TV PILOTS 2012: COMPLETE GUIDE'. The main article, 'Kate Middleton Will Not Be Called Princess', is dated 1:48 AM PDT 4/29/2011 by Mimi Turner. It features a photo of Prince William and Kate Middleton and a sub-headline: 'She'll go by "Her Royal Highness the Duchess of Cambridge" until her father-in-law, Prince Charles, becomes King.' The article text begins with 'LONDON -- It's no more Prince William and Kate. Britain woke to the news on Royal Wedding day that the Queen has conferred the bride and groom with the new titles of William, Duke of Cambridge and Catherine, Her Royal Highness the Duchess of'. To the right of the article is an advertisement for Blue Nile diamonds, featuring a large diamond ring and the text 'Introducing Blue Nile eiros™'. Below that is another advertisement for Hollywood magazine, titled '25 Most Powerful Stylists' and 'MORE FROM THIS ISSUE', with a 'Subscribe Now' button. The browser's address bar, search engine (Google), and taskbar are also visible.

EXHIBIT 8

http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2011/apr/29/royal-wedding-william-kate-cambridge

The screenshot shows a Firefox browser window displaying the Guardian website. The address bar shows the URL: www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2011/apr/29/royal-wedding-william-kate-cambridge. The page features the Guardian logo and a search bar. The main navigation menu includes links for News, US, World, Sports, Comment, Culture, Business, Environment, Science, Travel, Tech, Media, Life & style, Apps, and Data. The breadcrumb trail is: News > UK news > Royal wedding.

Royal wedding: William and Kate to be Duke and Duchess of Cambridge

Queen gifts grandson highest rank in British peerage, meaning Kate Middleton will not officially become a princess.

Caroline Davies
guardian.co.uk, Friday 29 April 2011 04.47 EDT
Article history

[Tweet](#) (42)
[Share](#) (279)
[reddit this](#)

[larger](#) | [smaller](#)

UK news
Royal wedding - Prince William - The Duchess of Cambridge - The Queen - Monarchy

Life and style
Weddings

More news

More on the royal wedding

Royal wedding

The Queen has gifted Prince William the title of the Duke of Cambridge on the day of the royal wedding, making Kate Middleton the Duchess of Cambridge. Photograph:

TEMPTATIONS CATS CAN'T RESIST™
Bring home TEMPTATIONS® Treats for Cats
CLICK TO EXPAND

On UK news
Most viewed | Zeitgeist | Latest

Last 24 hours

1. Islanders threaten rocky road for Alex Salmond's independence plans
2. Student arrested for filming buildings wins police payout
3. Corby buses disrupted as drivers hit EuroMillions jackpot
4. At £501, is this the UK's cheapest house?

Windows taskbar at the bottom shows the time as 7:29 PM on 3/19/2012.

EXHIBIT 9

http://www.babycenter.com/top-baby-names-2011

The screenshot shows the BabyCenter website interface. At the top, there is a navigation menu with categories: GETTING PREGNANT, PREGNANCY, BABY, TODDLER, PRESCHOOLER, BIG KID, FOR YOU, COMMUNITY, SHOP, and REGISTRY. Below the menu is a search bar and a timeline for adding a child to the timeline, with stages from pregnant to 9 years. The main content area features a featured article titled "Baby-naming dilemmas" with the subtitle "Solutions for sticky scenarios" and a link to "Explore this special feature". The main article is titled "100 most popular baby names of 2011" and includes social media sharing options (Recommend 13k, Tweet 475). The article text mentions "Aiden still rules the roost, topping the boys' list for the seventh year in a row. But look out for Mason, coming up strong from behind – the name soared eight spots since last year to land at number 3." and "Liam likewise bounded up the charts from number 10 to number 4. Meanwhile, Caden got elbowed off the top 10 list, dropping down to number 12." A video player is visible with the title "What's hot in baby names this year". The sidebar on the left contains a "Pregnancy" section with various sub-topics. The sidebar on the right contains a "My Family" section with a "Log in" link and a "Your Pregnancy, Week by Week" section with a form to enter due date or child's birthday and an email address.

EXHIBIT 10

http://www.socialsecurity.gov/cgi-bin/babyname.cgi

Social Security Online

Popular Baby Names

Popularity of a Name
March 19, 2012

Popularity of the female name Kate

Year of birth	Rank
2010	209
2009	159
2008	139
2007	136
2006	142
2005	140
2004	147
2003	161
2002	193
2001	200
2000	225
1999	229

Note: Rank 1 is the most popular, rank 2 is the next most popular, and so forth. Name data are from Social Security card applications for births that occurred in the United States.

Similar female names for births in 2010

Name	Rank
Kate	209
Katie	161

Select another name?
Kate

Privacy Policy | Website Policies & Other Important Information | Site Map

8:01 PM
3/19/2012

EXHIBIT 11

PRINCESS ANNE

Word Mark PRINCESS ANNE

Goods and Services IC 030. US 046. G & S: Candy

Standard Characters Claimed

Mark Drawing Code (4) STANDARD CHARACTER MARK

Serial Number 85205244

Filing Date December 23, 2010

Current Filing Basis 1B

Original Filing Basis 1B

Published for Opposition November 1, 2011

Owner (APPLICANT) Queen Anne Candy Company CORPORATION
DELAWARE 4801 South Lawndale Chicago ILLINOIS 60632

Attorney of Record Amy Cohen Heller

Prior Registrations 1199758

Type of Mark TRADEMARK

Register PRINCIPAL

Other Data The name(s), portrait(s), and/or signature(s) shown in the mark does not identify a particular living individual.

Live/Dead Indicator LIVE

EXHIBIT 12

Int. Cl.: 3

Prior U.S. Cls.: 1, 4, 6, 50, 51, and 52

Reg. No. 2,153,045

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Registered Apr. 21, 1998

**TRADEMARK
PRINCIPAL REGISTER**



**HRH
PRINCESS
ELIZABETH**

PRINCESS ELIZABETH INC. (NEW YORK
CORPORATION)
180 WEST 58TH STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10019

FIRST USE 4-29-1997; IN COMMERCE
4-29-1997.

SN 75-145,075, FILED 8-5-1996.

FOR: PERFUME, SKIN CREAM, SKIN LO-
TIONS AND SKIN TONERS, IN CLASS 3 (U.S.
CLS. 1, 4, 6, 50, 51 AND 52).

ANDREW BENZMILLER, EXAMINING AT-
TORNEY