

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: January 22, 2014

In re SILSON LIMITED

Serial No. 79118716

Filed: 3/29/2012

SANDRA M KOENIG
FAY SHARPE LLP
1228 EUCLID AVENUE
5TH FLOOR
CLEVELAND OH 44115

Lalita Greer, Paralegal Specialist:

Applicant's request for remand filed January 21, 2014 is noted.

Applicant seeks remand in order for the Examining Attorney to consider a proposed amendment. After review of the Examining Attorney's office actions, the Board construes the filing of the amendment as good cause to remand the application for action.

In view thereof, the request for remand is granted, action on the appeal is suspended, and the file is remanded

Exparte Appeal No. 79118716

to the Trademark Examining Attorney for consideration of the proposed amendment.

If the amendment is accepted and the mark is found registrable on the basis of this paper, the appeal will be moot. If the amendment is accepted but the refusal to register is maintained, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action so indicating, and return the file to the Board. The appeal will then be resumed and applicant allowed time in which to file its appeal brief. If the Examining Attorney determines that the amendment to the identification is not acceptable, the Examining Attorney should indicate in the Office Action the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable, and return the file to the Board for resumption of proceedings in the appeal. However, if the Examining Attorney believes that the problems with the proposed identification can be resolved, the Examining Attorney is encouraged to contact applicant, either by telephone or written Office Action, in an attempt to do so.