TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re application of	)
	) Examiner:
SCEA CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA	) KAREN P. SEVERSON
	)
Serial No. 79/098,943	) Law Office: 117
	)
Filed: April 27, 2011	)
	)
For: CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN	)
AND DESIGN	)

REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF BASED IN PART UPON EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to potentially allow for the Applicant to attempt to moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level. The additional time will allow for further consulting with the foreign based client representatives for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

01-26-2015
U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #11

The Applicant's counsel further believes that there may still be a further basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a potential reasonable and acceptable resolution could exist to resolve issues in this application.

The Applicant is in the further process of seeking instructions and clarifications from his client on pursuing a potential follow-up action and communication, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level and by consultations with the Examiner. In part, additional time is needed for the further consideration of such a comprehensive resolution, which if resolved in this manner could fully moot the appeal. The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution. During the prior extension period Applicant's counsel was involved in significant litigation matters which impeded his ability to properly liaison with the client.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the potential appeal brief, should that become necessary. Foreign legal counsel further needs time to consult with the Applicant about this file and a potential response. Additionally, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel who was during the time period heavily involved in District

Court proceedings and issues, as well as the holidays impeding the ability to consult with the foreign based representatives for the Applicant. During the time period Applicant's counsel has also been heavily involved in District Court proceedings including court hearings, discovery matters, settlement matters, TTAB adversarial matters, as well as responding to a variety of other intellectual property deadlines for foreign and domestic based clients on intellectual property related matters. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on **March 18, 2015**.

Respectfully submitted,

Burton S. Ehrlich
Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 427-1300

Burton S. Ehrlich
Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - NO FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on January 20, 2015.

Burton S. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on January 20, 2015.

Burton S. Ehrlich

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re application of	)
	) Examiner:
SCEA CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA	) KAREN P. SEVERSON
	)
Serial No. 79/098,943	) Law Office: 117
	)
Filed: April 27, 2011	)
	)
For: CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN	)
AND DESIGN	)

REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF BASED IN PART UPON EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to potentially allow for the Applicant to attempt to moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level. The additional time will allow for further consulting with the foreign based client representatives for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

The Applicant's counsel further believes that there may still be a further basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a potential reasonable and acceptable resolution could exist to resolve issues in this application.

The Applicant is in the further process of seeking instructions and clarifications from his client on pursuing a potential follow-up action and communication, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level and by consultations with the Examiner. In part, additional time is needed for the further consideration of such a comprehensive resolution, which if resolved in this manner could fully moot the appeal. The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution. During the prior extension period Applicant's counsel was involved in significant litigation matters which impeded his ability to properly liaison with the client.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the potential appeal brief, should that become necessary. Foreign legal counsel further needs time to consult with the Applicant about this file and a potential response. Additionally, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel who was during the time period heavily involved in District

Court proceedings and issues, as well as the holidays impeding the ability to consult with the foreign based representatives for the Applicant. During the time period Applicant's counsel has also been heavily involved in District Court proceedings including court hearings, discovery matters, settlement matters, TTAB adversarial matters, as well as responding to a variety of other intellectual property deadlines for foreign and domestic based clients on intellectual property related matters. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on **March 18, 2015**.

Respectfully submitted,

Burton S. Ehrlich
Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 427-1300

Burton S. Ehrlich
Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - NO FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on January 20, 2015.

Burton S. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on January 20, 2015.

Burton S. Ehrlich