

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re application of	)
	) Examiner:
SCEA CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA	) KAREN P. SEVERSON
	)
Serial No. 79/098,943	) Law Office: 117
	)
Filed: April 27, 2011	)
	)
For: CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN	)
AND DESIGN	)

**FIRST REQUEST FOR SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON  
FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE  
RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE  
FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT**

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to allow for the Applicant to potentially moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level and for additional time for consulting with the client for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days



for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

The Applicant's counsel believes that there still may be a basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. The Applicant is in the process of seeking further instructions and clarifications from his client on pursuing a potential follow-up action and communication, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level and by consultations with the Examiner. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a reasonable and acceptable resolution may exist to resolve issues in this application. In part, additional time is needed for the consideration of such a resolution, which if resolved in this manner could moot the appeal. The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the potential appeal brief, should that become necessary.

Furthermore, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel and his principals for the client. During the time period Applicant's counsel has been heavily involved in District Court proceedings including out of state business travel, with

depositions and a discovery cut-off, TTAB adversarial matters, as well as respond to a variety of other deadlines for foreign and domestic based clients on intellectual property related matters. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on November 22, 2013.


Burton S. Ehrlich  
Ladas & Parry  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60604  
(312) 427-1300

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Burton S. Ehrlich  
Attorney for Applicant

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on September 23, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Burton S. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on September 23, 2013.

  
Burton S. Ehrlich

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re application of )  
SCEA CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA ) Examiner:  
Serial No. 79/098,943 ) KAREN P. SEVERSON  
Filed: April 27, 2011 ) Law Office: 117  
For: CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN )  
AND DESIGN )

**FIRST REQUEST FOR SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON  
FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE  
RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE  
FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT**

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to allow for the Applicant to potentially moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level and for additional time for consulting with the client for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days

for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

The Applicant's counsel believes that there still may be a basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. The Applicant is in the process of seeking further instructions and clarifications from his client on pursuing a potential follow-up action and communication, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level and by consultations with the Examiner. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a reasonable and acceptable resolution may exist to resolve issues in this application. In part, additional time is needed for the consideration of such a resolution, which if resolved in this manner could moot the appeal.

The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the potential appeal brief, should that become necessary.

Furthermore, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel and his principals for the client. During the time period Applicant's counsel has been heavily involved in District Court proceedings including out of state business travel, with

depositions and a discovery cut-off, TTAB adversarial matters, as well as respond to a variety of other deadlines for foreign and domestic based clients on intellectual property related matters. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on November 22, 2013.


Burton S. Ehrlich  
Ladas & Parry  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60604  
(312) 427-1300

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Burton S. Ehrlich  
Attorney for Applicant


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on September 23, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Burton S. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on September 23, 2013.

  
Burton S. Ehrlich