

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: March 8, 2012

In re Wabco Würth Workshop
Services GmbH

Serial No. 79094094

Filed: 7/8/2010

JONATHAN MYERS
KF ROSS PC
PO BOX 900, 5720 MOSHOLU AVE
BRONX, NY 10471-0900

Millicent Canady, Paralegal Specialist:

Applicant filed, on February 29, 2012 a notice of appeal and on March 2, 2012 its amendment with respect to International Classes 9, 12, 36 and 42 only. The basis of the final refusal, issued on September 1, 2011, is the unacceptability of the identification of goods and services, and the amendment is an attempt by applicant to submit an acceptable identification. Accordingly, the appeal is hereby instituted but action on it is suspended and the application is remanded to the Trademark Examining Attorney for consideration of the amendment. If the amendment is accepted, the appeal will be moot and proceedings on the appeal will terminate in due course. If the amendment is found unacceptable, the Examining Attorney should issue an

Office Action indicating the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable and notify the Board, which will then allow applicant time to file its appeal brief.¹ However, if the Examining Attorney believes that the problems with the proposed identification can be resolved, the Examining Attorney is encouraged to contact applicant, either by telephone or written Office Action, in an attempt to do so.

¹ If the Examining Attorney believes that the proposed amendment is unacceptable because it exceeds the scope of the original identification, or the identification as it has subsequently been amended, then the Examining Attorney may not issue a final refusal unless applicant was previously advised that amendments broadening the identification are prohibited under Trademark Rule 2.71(a).