

**United States Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: March 12, 2010

In re: CHOREN Industries GmbH

Serial No. 79060812

Filed: 8/22/2008

Katherine P. Califa  
Foley & Lardner LLP  
3000 K St., NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20007

**Lalita R. Greer, Paralegal Specialist:**

Applicant's request to suspend and remand filed March 11, 2010 is noted.

Applicant seeks remand in order for the Examining Attorney to consider a proposed amendment. Good cause having been shown, the request for remand is granted, action on the appeal is suspended, and the file is remanded to the Trademark Examining Attorney for examination of the proposed amendment.

If the amendment is accepted and the mark is found registrable on the basis of this paper, the appeal will be moot and proceedings on the appeal will terminate in due course. If the amendment is accepted but the refusal to

register is maintained, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action so indicating, and notify the Board. The appeal will then be resumed and applicant allowed a sufficient time in which to file its appeal brief. If the Examining Attorney determines that the amendment to the identification is not acceptable, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action to that effect, indicating the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable and, if possible, advising applicant as to how to cure any deficiency in the identification. The amendment to the identification should be treated as raising a new issue, such that any refusal to accept the proposed identification cannot be made final until applicant is given an opportunity to respond.