

Response to Office Action

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
SERIAL NUMBER	78614246
LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED	LAW OFFICE 115
MARK SECTION (no change)	
ARGUMENT(S)	
<p>Request for Reconsideration</p> <p>To the Commissioner for Trademarks:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">This Response is submitted in response to the Final Office Action dated July 24, 2006.</p> <p>Applicant addresses the issues cited therein by the Examining Attorney.</p> <p>I. Specimen</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">In the Final Office Action, the Examining Attorney stated that the current specimen of record is unacceptable because it does not show use of the mark in the sale or advertising of applicant's identified services. TMEP § 1301.04(c) states that business cards may be accepted if they create an associate between the mark and the services. Furthermore, the specimen does not have to specifically spell out the nature or type of services; a general reference is acceptable. In re Ralph Mantia Inc., 54 U.S.P.Q.2d 1284 (TTAB 2000).</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">In this connection, Applicant respectfully submits that the current specimen of record is acceptable. The specimen consists of a digital copy of a business card with the phrase "Rosh Beth Din D'Bobov". This phrase translates into English as "the head of the law court of Bobov".</p> <p>Applicant's services are "legal services, namely, providing a rabbinical court; legal services, namely, mediation services". Therefore, the current specimen of record creates an association</p>	

between the mark and the services.

II. Meaning

The Examining Attorney has also requested that Applicant indicate any meaning of the mark, and whether the mark has any meaning in relationship to the services identified. Applicant respectfully submits that the term BOBOV has no significance in the relevant trade or industry or as applied to the services listed in the application, no geographical significance, nor any meaning in a foreign language.

Having responded to the outstanding issues raised by the Examining Attorney, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examining Attorney reconsider the refusal of this Application and that the Application for the mark BOBOV is in condition for prompt publication and favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 940-6634

SIGNATURE SECTION

RESPONSE SIGNATURE	/Paula E. Hopkins/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Paula E. Hopkins
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	Attorney for Applicant
DATE SIGNED	01/24/2007

FILING INFORMATION SECTION

SUBMIT DATE	Wed Jan 24 19:15:04 EST 2007
TEAS STAMP	USPTO/ROA-38.117.238.82-2 0070124191504318223-78614 246-360d73151b3782b14d39d b3a2681c949-N/A-N/A-20070 124191207317259

Response to Office Action

To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

Application serial no. **78614246** has been amended as follows:

Argument(s)

In response to the substantive refusal(s), please note the following:

Request for Reconsideration

To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

This Response is submitted in response to the Final Office Action dated July 24, 2006.

Applicant addresses the issues cited therein by the Examining Attorney.

I. Specimen

In the Final Office Action, the Examining Attorney stated that the current specimen of record is unacceptable because it does not show use of the mark in the sale or advertising of applicant's identified services. TMEP § 1301.04(c) states that business cards may be accepted if they create an associate between the mark and the services. Furthermore, the specimen does not have to specifically spell out the nature or type of services; a general reference is acceptable. In re Ralph Mantia Inc., 54 U.S.P.Q.2d 1284 (TTAB 2000).

In this connection, Applicant respectfully submits that the current specimen of record is acceptable. The specimen consists of a digital copy of a business card with the phrase "Rosh Beth Din D'Bobov". This phrase translates into English as "the head of the law court of Bobov". Applicant's services are "legal services, namely, providing a rabbinical court; legal services, namely, mediation services". Therefore, the current specimen of record creates an association between the mark and the services.

II. Meaning

The Examining Attorney has also requested that Applicant indicate any meaning of the mark, and whether the mark has any meaning in relationship to the services identified. Applicant respectfully

submits that the term BOBOV has no significance in the relevant trade or industry or as applied to the services listed in the application, no geographical significance, nor any meaning in a foreign language.

Having responded to the outstanding issues raised by the Examining Attorney, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examining Attorney reconsider the refusal of this Application and that the Application for the mark BOBOV is in condition for prompt publication and favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 940-6634

Response Signature

Signature: /Paula E. Hopkins/ Date: 01/24/2007

Signatory's Name: Paula E. Hopkins

Signatory's Position: Attorney for Applicant

Serial Number: 78614246

Internet Transmission Date: Wed Jan 24 19:15:04 EST 2007

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/ROA-38.117.238.82-2007012419150431

8223-78614246-360d73151b3782b14d39db3a26

81c949-N/A-N/A-20070124191207317259