

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA212246**

Filing date: **05/19/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	76659543
Applicant	CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL COMPANY LLC
Correspondence Address	JORDAN S. WEINSTEIN OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER 1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-3451 UNITED STATES tmdocket@oblon.com
Submission	Applicant's Request to Extend
Attachments	3253-290883US-Req5 Signed.pdf (3 pages)(78462 bytes)
Filer's Name	Jeffrey S. Molinoff
Filer's e-mail	tmdocket@oblon.com,jmolinoff@oblon.com,dbondurant@oblon.com
Signature	/JSM/
Date	05/19/2008

Attorney Docket No.: 290883US56

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re the Application of:

CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL COMPANY, INC.

Application Serial No. 76/659,543

Filed: May 3, 2006

Mark: SPRINGHAMMER & DEVICE

BOX TTAB
NO FEE

The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Dear Commissioner:

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPEAL BRIEF

On October 23, 2007, Applicant filed a Notice of Appeal together with a Request for Reconsideration in response to a Final Refusal issued by the Examining Attorney on April 25, 2007, in connection with the above-captioned application. The application was suspended and remanded to the Examining Attorney for consideration of Applicant's Request for Reconsideration. On November 26, 2007 the Examining Attorney issued an Office Action asserting that there were still issues remaining which needed to be resolved by Applicant.

On February 1, 2008, Applicant filed a Supplemental Request for Reconsideration, which, Applicant submits, resolves all the issues raised by the Examining Attorney. Although the TARR database shows that Applicant's amendment has been entered, the Examining Attorney has not acted substantively on Applicant's Supplemental Request for Reconsideration.

On March 17, 2008, Applicant filed a Request for Extension of Time to File Appeal Brief, requesting a two month extension of time to file Applicant's Appeal Brief. The TARR database continues to show that Applicant's amendment has been entered, but the file has not been returned to the Examining Attorney for consideration of Applicant's Supplemental Request for Reconsideration. In fact, Counsel for Applicant spoke with the Examining Attorney on May 12, 2008, and was advised that the Examining Attorney has requested the file. However, because Applicant's Appeal Brief is due May 17, 2008, Applicant is concerned that the Examiner will not have received the file to consider the amendment prior to the date on which the Appeal Brief is due.


Applicant earnestly believes that its amendment places the application in condition for allowance and obviates the need for an appeal. To provide the Examining Attorney with sufficient time to consider Applicant's Supplemental Request for Reconsideration (which included a further two and one-half page amendment to the identification of goods), Applicant hereby requests a two-month extension of time to file its Appeal Brief.

As good cause has been shown for granting of Applicant's request for extension of time to file an Appeal Brief pursuant to TBMP §1203.02(d), a two-month extension of time to file Applicant's Appeal Brief is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL
COMPANY, INC.

By:



Jordan S. Weinstein
Jeffrey S. Molinoff
Oblon, Spivak, McClelland,
Maier & Neustadt, P.C.
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 413-3000
fax (703) 413-2220
e-mail: tmdocket@oblon.com

Date: March 17, 2008

JSW/JSM/ {I:\ATTY\JSW\CHICAGO PNEUMATIC\3253-290883US-REQ5.DOC}