

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**  
2900 Crystal Drive  
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Baez

**Mailed: October 21, 2003**

In re A. G. Imagination Ltd.

Serial No. 76393679

Filed: 04/10/2002

MICHAEL R. GRAIF  
CHADBOURNE PARKE LLP  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NY 10112

**Vionette Baez, Paralegal**

Applicant filed, on September 29, 2003, a notice of appeal and a response.

Action on the appeal is suspended and the file is remanded to the Trademark Examining Attorney for consideration of the response. The request contains a proposed amendment to the identification of goods. If the amendment is accepted and the mark is found registrable on the basis of this paper, the appeal will be moot. If the amendment is accepted but the refusal to register is maintained, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action so indicating, and return the file to the Board. The appeal will then be resumed and applicant allowed a

sufficient time in which to file its appeal brief. If the Examining Attorney determines that the amendment to the identification is not acceptable, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action to that effect, indicating the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable and, if possible, advising applicant as to how to cure any deficiency in the identification. The amendment to the identification should be treated as raising a new issue, such that any refusal to accept the proposed identification cannot be made final until applicant is given an opportunity to respond.

\*\*\*\*\*