

**THIS OPINION IS NOT A  
PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB**

Mailed:  
May 16, 2008

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**

---

In re Prema Jyothi Light

---

Serial No. 76293327

---

Prema Jyothi Light, *pro se*.

Paul F. Gast, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 106  
(Mary I. Sparrow, Managing Attorney).

---

Before Hohein, Bucher and Bergsman, Administrative  
Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Bergsman, Administrative Trademark Judge:

This application is before the Board on appeal from the final refusal of the Trademark Examining Attorney on the grounds that the subject matter sought to be registered constitutes more than one mark and that applicant's proposed amendment to the drawing of its mark is a material alteration of the mark as originally filed. After reviewing the record, it appears to us that the list of character names presented as part of applicant's mark does not function as a trademark, and therefore may not be registrable subject matter pursuant to Section 1 of the

Serial No. 76293327

Trademark Act of 1946, 15 U.S.C. §1051. Accordingly, the appeal is suspended and the application is remanded to the Examining Attorney for further examination to be completed within thirty days of the mailing date of this order.

Trademark Rule 2.142(f)(1), 37 CFR §2.142(f)(1).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Trademark Rule 2.142(f)(1) reads as follows: "If, during an appeal from a refusal of registration, it appears to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board that an issue not previously raised may render the mark of the appellant unregistrable, the Board may suspend the appeal and remand the application to the examiner for further examination to be completed within thirty days."