

TTAB

JACBA69.003ZUS

TRADEMARK/SERVICE MARK

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re Trademark/Service Mark Application:

Applicant : VisionWeb, Inc.)
Serial No. : 76/149,210)
Filed : October 18, 2000)
Trademark : VISIONWEB)
Published : January 8, 2002)

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202-3514, on

February 4, 2004

(Date)

Stacey R. Halpern



02-09-2004
U.S. Patent & TMO/TM Mail Rpt Dt. #78

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF TIME TO OPPOSE, OR
IN THE ALTERNATIVE, REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO OPPOSE**

Commissioner for Trademarks
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-3514



02-09-2004

U.S. Patent & TMO/TM Mail Rpt Dt. #78

ATT: BOX TTAB NO FEE

Dear Sir:

Demo Holding S.A., a Luxembourg corporation, by its attorneys, KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP, 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor, Irvine, California 92614, hereby petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to suspend the time to file a Notice of Opposition to the above-identified service mark pending the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board's (the "Board") and/or the Examining Attorney's review and acceptance of Applicant's proposed amendments to its above-identified application.

Alternatively, if the time period for opposing the application cannot be suspended, the

potential Opposer requests an extension of time to oppose for a period of sixty (60) days from February 4, 2004 until April 4, 2004. If the amendments have not been accepted by April 4, 2004, the potential Opposer will submit a further Request for an Extension of Time to Oppose.

As was discussed in each Stipulated Request for Suspension of Time to Oppose or in the Alternative Request for an Extension of Time to Oppose (“Request”), filed on October 1, 2003 and December 4, 2003, Applicant submitted an Amendment after Publication Wherein an Extension of Time to Oppose has Been Granted (the “Amendment”) on August 11, 2003. On December 2, 2003, the Board issued an order indicating that the Amendment required review by the Examining Attorney. As such, the Board forwarded the Amendment to the Examining Attorney. Since this date, the Board’s online database does not indicate that any action has taken place in connection with this application.

The Board’s December 2, 2003 order also indicated that the Board cannot suspend the time period to oppose pending the Examining Attorney’s review of the Amendment. Applicant notes that “[f]or cases in which a first extension of time to oppose is filed on or after November 2, 2003, the Board will no longer suspend the time for filing a notice of opposition.” However, as the first extension of time to oppose was filed prior to November 2, 2003, this matter is governed by T.M.E.P. 1505.02(c)

Specifically, T.M.E.P. 1505.02(c) states that “[i]f the applicant files an amendment in a case that is under an extension of time for filing an opposition, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board will suspend the time for filing an opposition.” Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board suspend this proceeding pending the Examining Attorney’s review of the Amendment.

Alternatively, the potential Opposer notes that the Amendment was filed in August 2003 and not acted upon by the Board until December 2003. Moreover, to date, it does not appear that

the Examining Attorney has reviewed the proposed amendment.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that if the Board cannot suspend the time to oppose, it grant the potential Opposer's request for a further sixty (60) day extension of time to oppose. As the four (4) month delay in processing the Amendment and forwarding the Amendment to the Examining Attorney was beyond the control of the Applicant and the potential Opposer, Applicant submits that this Request is not sought for purposes of delay but so the Examining Attorney can consider and accept the Amendment.


Moreover, the potential Opposer notes that under Paragraph 3 of the Settlement Agreement executed by Applicant and the potential Opposer, Applicant expressly agreed to extend the time to oppose pending the Examining Attorney's review of the Amendment. Accordingly, not allowing for a further extension of time to oppose would contradict the parties' express written agreement. The potential Opposer notes that a copy of the parties' fully executed Settlement Agreement was attached to the Request filed on August 7, 2003, a copy which was also attached to the Request filed on December 4, 2003.

In light of the foregoing, the potential Opposer respectfully requests that the Board grant its request for a suspension or further extension of time to oppose pending the Examining Attorney's review and approval of the Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP


Dated: February 4, 2004

By: 
Stacey R. Halpern
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
(949) 760-0404
efiling@kmob.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Request for a Suspension of Time to Oppose or in the Alternative Request for an Extension of Time to Oppose upon Applicant's counsel by depositing one copy thereof in the United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, on February 4, 2004, addressed as follows:

Richard D. Fladung
John A. Tang
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, L.L.P.
Pennzoil Place-South Tower
711 Louisiana Street, Suite 1900
Houston, Texas 77002



Stacey R. Halpern

H:\DOCS\SRH\SRH-7109.DOC:sh
020404